

THE WESS-ZUMINO-WITTEN-NOVIKOV THEORY, KNIZHNIK-ZAMOLODCHIKOV EQUATIONS, AND KRICHEVER-NOVIKOV ALGEBRAS, II

MARTIN SCHLICHENMAIER AND OLEG K. SHEINMAN

ABSTRACT. This paper continues the same-named article, Part I [26]. We give a global operator approach to the WZWN theory for compact Riemann surfaces of an arbitrary genus g with marked points. Globality means here that we use Krichever-Novikov algebras of gauge and conformal symmetries (i.e. algebras of global symmetries) instead of loop and Virasoro algebras (which are local in this context). The elements of this global approach are described in Part I. In the present paper we give the construction of conformal blocks and the projective flat connection on the bundle constituted by them.

Date: 31.11.2003.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B66, 17B67, 14H10, 14H15, 14H55, 30F30, 81R10, 81T40.

Key words and phrases. Wess-Zumino-Witten-Novikov theory, conformal blocks, Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, current algebras, gauge algebra, conformal algebra, central extensions, highest weight representations, Sugawara construction, flat connection.

O.K.Sheinman is supported by the RFBR projects 02-01-00803, 02-01-22004 and by the program "Nonlinear Dynamics and Solitons" of the Russian Academy of Science.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. The algebras of Krichever-Novikov type	4
2.1. The Lie algebras \mathcal{A} , $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$, \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{D}^1 and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$	4
2.2. Meromorphic forms of weight λ and Krichever-Novikov duality	5
2.3. Krichever-Novikov bases	6
2.4. Almost graded structure, triangular decompositions	7
2.5. Central extensions and 2-cohomologies	9
2.6. Affine algebras	11
2.7. Central extensions of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$.	13
2.8. Local cocycles for $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$	14
3. Representations of the multi-point Krichever-Novikov algebras	15
3.1. Projective $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ -modules	15
3.2. Fermion representations	16
3.3. Sugawara representation	18
4. Moduli of curves with marked points, conformal blocks and projective flat connection	
4.1. Moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(k,p)}$ and the sheaf of conformal blocks	22
4.2. Projective flat connection and generalized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations	25
References	32

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we consider the following problem of two-dimensional conformal field theory: induced by gauge and conformal symmetry construct a vector bundle equipped with a projective flat connection on the moduli space of punctured Riemann surfaces¹.

This problem originates in the well-known article of V.Knizhnik and A.Zamolodchikov [9], where the case of genus zero is considered. There the following remarkable system of differential equations is obtained:

$$(1.1) \quad \left(k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_p} - \sum_{r \neq p} \frac{t_p^a t_r^a}{z_p - z_r} \right) \Psi = 0, \quad p = 1, \dots, N.$$

Here z_1, \dots, z_N are arbitrary (generic) marked points on the Riemann sphere. For $i = 1, \dots, N$, representations t_i of a certain reductive Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} are given (t_i^a being a representation matrix for the a^{th} generator of \mathfrak{g}) and k is a constant. A summation over a is assumed in (1.1).

Nowadays, the equations are known as the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) equations. They can be interpreted as horizontality conditions with respect to the *Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov connection*. From the point of view of physics, (1.1) are equations for the N -point correlation functions in Wess-Zumino-Witten-Novikov models.

¹See Section 4 for the precise definition of what we mean by “moduli space of punctured Riemann surfaces”.

Further developments of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov ideas are briefly outlined in [26]. There more references can be found. Until 1987 they were inseparably linked with the conception of gauge and conformal symmetries based on Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras. The higher genus generalizations in the frame of this conception are initiated by D. Bernard [1], the complete theory is given by A. Tsuchiya, K. Ueno, Y. Yamada [34], see also [3].

In [10, 11, 12], I.M.Krichever and S.P.Novikov defined the basic objects of two-dimensional conformal field theory (like the energy-momentum tensor) as global meromorphic objects on a Riemann surface. For an abelian \mathfrak{g} , and two punctures, they pointed out another choice for the basic gauge and conformal symmetries which are of a global nature and satisfy the *Krichever-Novikov algebras*.

In [25, 26] we generalized the Krichever-Novikov results to the non-abelian multi-point case and developed our *global operator approach* to the Wess-Zumino-Witten-Novikov model. In [26], we basically formulated our approach including the general form of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov connection for arbitrary finite genus g and the particular forms for lower genera ($g = 0$ or $g = 1$).

We believe that the global operator approach simplifies the theory and makes more transparent its geometry and relations. It enables us to describe explicitly the Kuranishi tangent space of the moduli space in terms of Krichever-Novikov basis elements, hence to give explicitly the equations of the generalized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov system. It is well-known that the higher genus theories are related to the representations of the fundamental group of the punctured Riemann surface ("twists" in early CFT terminology [4]). In our approach, representations of the fundamental group naturally arise as parameters giving representations of gauge Krichever-Novikov algebras. Thus, the global geometric Langlands correspondence appears in the very beginning of the theory. One more intriguing relation can easily be formulated in the framework of our approach, namely, the relation between Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov and Hitchin systems [6]. Both a Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov operator and the corresponding quantized Hitchin integral correspond to a Kuranishi tangent vector. On one hand, consider the pull-back e of such vector to the Krichever-Novikov vector field algebra. On the other hand, consider the corresponding derivative on the moduli space. In both cases subtract the Sugawara operator corresponding to e . In the first case this yields the quantized Hitchin integral [33], and in the second case the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov operator.

However, in [26], we were not able to show that our connection is well-defined on *conformal blocks*, hence, we also omitted the proof of its projective flatness. Filling up these gaps is the goal of the present paper.

In Section 2 and Section 3 the necessary setup is revisited from the point of view of the recent progress in the theory of multi-point Krichever-Novikov algebras [23, 24], and their representations [30, 31, 32]. Certain results are extended to be applicable to the situations considered here.

The Section 4 contains the main results, namely the construction of the generalized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov connection on the conformal block bundle on an open dense part of the moduli space, and the proof of the projective flatness of this connection.

One of the authors (O.K.S.) was supported by the RFBR projects 02-01-00803, 02-01-22004 and by the program "Nonlinear Dynamics and Solitons" of the Russian Academy of Science. The authors thank also the DFG for support in the framework of the DFG-Forschergruppe "Arithmetik", located at the Universities of Heidelberg and Mannheim.

2. THE ALGEBRAS OF KRICHEVER-NOVIKOV TYPE

For the general set-up developed in [20], [17, 18, 19] we refer to [26]. Let us introduce here some notation.

Let Σ be a compact Riemann surface of genus g , or in terms of algebraic geometry, a smooth projective curve over \mathbb{C} respectively. Let

$$I = (P_1, \dots, P_N), \quad N \geq 1$$

be a tuple of ordered, distinct points ("marked points" "punctures") on Σ and P_∞ a distinguished marked point on Σ different from P_i for every i . The points in I are called the *in-points*, and the point P_∞ the *out-point*. Let $A = I \cup \{P_\infty\}$ as a set. In [19], [20], the general case where there exists also a finite set of out-points is considered. The results presented in this section are also valid in the more general context.

2.1. The Lie algebras \mathcal{A} , $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{D}^1 and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$.

First let $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}(\Sigma, I, P_\infty)$ be the associative algebra of meromorphic functions on Σ which are regular except at the points $P \in A$. Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex finite-dimensional Lie algebra. Then

$$(2.1) \quad \bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}$$

is called the *Krichever-Novikov current algebra* [10, 27, 28, 29]. The Lie bracket on $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is given by the relations

$$(2.2) \quad [x \otimes A, y \otimes B] = [x, y] \otimes AB.$$

We will often omit the symbol \otimes in our notation.

Let \mathcal{L} denote the Lie algebra of meromorphic vector fields on Σ which are allowed to have poles only at the points $P \in A$ [10, 11, 12].

For the Riemann sphere ($g = 0$) with quasi-global coordinate z , $I = \{0\}$ and $P_\infty = \infty$, the algebra \mathcal{A} is the algebra of Laurent polynomials, the current algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the loop algebra, and the vector field algebra \mathcal{L} is the Witt algebra. Sometimes, we refer to this case as the *classical situation* for short.

The algebra \mathcal{L} operates on the elements of \mathcal{A} by taking the (Lie) derivative. This enables us to define the Lie algebra \mathcal{D}^1 of first order differential operators

as the semi-direct sum of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{L} . As vector space $\mathcal{D}^1 = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{L}$. The Lie structure is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad [(g, e), (h, f)] := (e \cdot h - f \cdot g, [e, f]), \quad g, h \in \mathcal{A}, \quad e, f \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Here $e \cdot f$ denotes taking the Lie derivative. In local coordinates $e| = \tilde{e} \frac{d}{dz}$ and $e \cdot f| = \tilde{e} \cdot \frac{df}{dz}$.

Consider at last the Lie algebra $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ of differential operators associated to $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, (i.e. the *algebra of Krichever-Novikov differential operators*). As a linear space $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1 = \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathcal{L}$. The Lie structure is given by the Lie structures on $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, on \mathcal{L} , and the additional definition

$$(2.4) \quad [e, x \otimes A] := -[x \otimes A, e] := x \otimes (e \cdot A).$$

In particular, for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(1)$ one obtains, as a special case, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1 = \mathcal{D}^1$.

2.2. Meromorphic forms of weight λ and Krichever-Novikov duality.

Let \mathcal{K} be the canonical line bundle. Its associated sheaf of local sections is the sheaf of holomorphic differentials. Following the common practice we will usually not distinguish between a line bundle and its associated invertible sheaf of local sections. For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ we consider the bundle $\mathcal{K}^\lambda := \mathcal{K}^{\otimes \lambda}$. Here we follow the usual convention: $\mathcal{K}^0 = \mathcal{O}$ is the trivial bundle, and $\mathcal{K}^{-1} = \mathcal{K}^*$ is the holomorphic tangent line bundle. Indeed, after fixing a theta characteristics, i.e. a bundle S with $S^{\otimes 2} = \mathcal{K}$, it is possible to consider $\lambda \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Denote by \mathcal{F}^λ the (infinite-dimensional) vector space of global meromorphic sections of \mathcal{K}^λ which are holomorphic on $\Sigma \setminus A$. The elements of \mathcal{F}^λ are called (meromorphic) forms or tensors of weight λ .

Special cases, which are of particular interest, are the functions ($\lambda = 0$), the vector fields ($\lambda = -1$), the 1-forms ($\lambda = 1$), and the quadratic differentials ($\lambda = 2$). The space of functions is already denoted by \mathcal{A} , and the space of vector fields by \mathcal{L} .

By multiplying sections with functions we again obtain sections. In this way the \mathcal{F}^λ become \mathcal{A} -modules. By taking the Lie derivative of the forms with respect to the vector fields the vector spaces \mathcal{F}^λ become \mathcal{L} -modules. In local coordinates the Lie derivative is given as

$$(2.5) \quad (e \cdot g)| := (\tilde{e}(z) \frac{d}{dz}) \cdot (\tilde{g}(z) dz^\lambda) := \left(\tilde{e}(z) \frac{d\tilde{g}}{dz}(z) + \lambda \tilde{g}(z) \frac{d\tilde{e}}{dz}(z) \right) dz^\lambda.$$

The vector spaces \mathcal{F}^λ become \mathcal{D}^1 -modules by the canonical definition $(g + e) \cdot v = g \cdot v + e \cdot v$. Here $g \in \mathcal{A}$, $e \in \mathcal{L}$ and $v \in \mathcal{F}^\lambda$. By universal constructions algebras of differential operators of arbitrary degree can be considered [20, 22].

Let ρ be a meromorphic differential which is holomorphic on $\Sigma \setminus A$ with exact pole order 1 at the points in A and given positive residues at I and given negative residues at P_∞ (of course obeying the restriction $\sum_{P \in I} \text{res}_P(\rho) + \text{res}_{P_\infty}(\rho) = 0$) and purely imaginary periods. There exists exactly one such ρ (see [16, p.116]). For $R \in \Sigma \setminus A$ a fixed point, the function $u(P) = \text{Re} \int_R^P \rho$

is a well-defined harmonic function. The family of level lines $C_\tau := \{p \in M \mid u(p) = \tau\}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ defines a fibration of $\Sigma \setminus A$. Each C_τ separates the points in I from the point P_∞ . For $\tau \ll 0$ ($\tau \gg 0$) each level line C_τ is a disjoint union of deformed circles C_i around the points P_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$ (of a deformed circle C_∞ around the point P_∞). We will call any such level line or any cycle homologous to such a level line a separating cycle C_S .

Definition 2.1. The *Krichever-Novikov pairing* (KN pairing) is the pairing between \mathcal{F}^λ and $\mathcal{F}^{1-\lambda}$ given by

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{F}^\lambda \times \mathcal{F}^{1-\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} f \otimes g = \sum_{P \in I} \text{res}_P(f \otimes g) = -\text{res}_{P_\infty}(f \otimes g),$$

where C_S is any separating cycle.

The last equality follows from the residue theorem. Note that in (2.6) the integral does not depend on the separating cycle chosen. From the construction of special dual basis elements in the next subsection it follows that the KN pairing is non-degenerate.

2.3. Krichever-Novikov bases.

Krichever and Novikov introduced special bases (*Krichever-Novikov bases*) for the vector spaces of meromorphic tensors on Riemann surfaces with two marked points. For $g = 0$ the Krichever-Novikov bases coincide with the Laurent bases. The multi-point generalization of these bases is given by one of the authors in [19, 20] (see also Sadov [15] for some results in similar direction). We define here the Krichever-Novikov type bases for tensors of arbitrary weight λ on Riemann surfaces with N marked points as introduced in [19, 20].

For fixed λ and for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $p = 1, \dots, N$ a certain element $f_{n,p}^\lambda \in \mathcal{F}^\lambda$ is exhibited. The basis elements are chosen in such a way that they fulfill the duality relation

$$(2.7) \quad \langle f_{n,p}^\lambda, f_{m,r}^{1-\lambda} \rangle = \delta_{-n}^m \cdot \delta_p^r$$

with respect to the KN pairing (2.6). In particular, this implies that the KN pairing is non-degenerate. Additionally, the elements fulfill

$$(2.8) \quad \text{ord}_{P_i}(f_{n,p}^\lambda) = (n + 1 - \lambda) - \delta_i^p, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

The recipe for choosing the order at the point P_∞ is such that up to a scalar multiplication there is a unique such element which also fulfills (2.7). After choosing local coordinates z_p at the points P_p the scalar can be fixed by requiring

$$(2.9) \quad f_{n,p}^\lambda(z_p) = z_p^{n-\lambda}(1 + O(z_p))(dz_p)^\lambda, \quad p = 1, \dots, N.$$

To give an impression about the other requirement let us consider the case $g \geq 2$, $\lambda \neq 0, 1$ and a generic choice for the points in A (or $g = 0$ without any

restriction). Then we require

$$(2.10) \quad \text{ord}_{P_\infty}(f_{n,p}^\lambda) = -N \cdot (n + 1 - \lambda) + (2\lambda - 1)(g - 1) .$$

By Riemann-Roch type arguments, it is shown in [17] that there exists only one such element. For the necessary modification for other cases see [26], [19, 20].

For the basis elements $f_{n,p}^\lambda$, explicit descriptions in terms of rational functions (for $g = 0$), the Weierstraß σ -function (for $g = 1$), and prime forms and theta functions (for $g \geq 1$) are given in [18]. For $g = 0$ and $g = 1$, such a description can be found also in [26, §§2,7]. For a description using Weierstraß \wp -function, see [14], [21]. The existence of such a description is necessary in our context because we want to consider the above algebras and modules over the configuration space, respectively, the moduli space of curves with marked points. In particular, one observes from the explicit representation that the elements vary “analytically” when the complex structure of the Riemann surface is deformed.

For the following special cases we introduce the notation:

$$(2.11) \quad A_{n,p} := f_{n,p}^0, \quad e_{n,p} := f_{n,p}^{-1}, \quad \omega^{n,p} := f_{-n,p}^1, \quad \Omega^{n,p} := f_{-n,p}^2 .$$

For $g = 0$ and $N = 1$ the basis elements constructed coincide with the standard generators of the Witt and loop algebras, respectively. For $g \geq 1$ and $N = 1$ these elements coincide up to an index shift with those given by Krichever and Novikov [10, 11, 12].

2.4. Almost graded structure, triangular decompositions.

For $g = 0$ and $N = 1$ the Lie algebras introduced in Section 2.1 are graded. A grading is a necessary tool for developing their structure theory and the theory of their highest weight representations. For the higher genus case (and for the multi-point situation for $g = 0$) there is no grading. It is a fundamental observation due to Krichever and Novikov [10, 11, 12] that a weaker concept, an almost grading, is sufficient to develop a suitable structure and representation theory in this more general context.

An (associative or Lie) algebra is called *almost-graded* if it admits a direct decomposition as a vector space $\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{V}_n$, with (1) $\dim \mathcal{V}_n < \infty$ and (2) there are constants R and S such that

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{V}_n \cdot \mathcal{V}_m \subseteq \bigoplus_{h=n+m-R}^{n+m+S} \mathcal{V}_h, \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z} .$$

The elements of \mathcal{V}_n are called *homogeneous elements of degree n* . Let $\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{V}_n$ be an almost-graded algebra and M an \mathcal{V} -module. The module M is called an *almost-graded \mathcal{V} -module* if it admits a direct decomposition as a vector space $M = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} M_m$, with (1) $\dim M_m < \infty$ and (2) there are constants T and U such that

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{V}_n \cdot M_m \subseteq \bigoplus_{h=n+m-T}^{n+m+U} M_h, \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z} .$$

The elements of M_n are called *homogeneous elements of degree n* .

In our case the homogeneous subspaces \mathcal{F}_n^λ are defined as the subspace of \mathcal{F}^λ generated by the elements $f_{n,p}^\lambda$ for $p = 1, \dots, N$. Then $\mathcal{F}^\lambda = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}_n^\lambda$.

Proposition 2.2. [19, 20] *With respect to the introduced degree, the vector field algebra \mathcal{L} , the function algebra \mathcal{A} , and the differential operator algebra \mathcal{D}^1 are almost-graded and the \mathcal{F}^λ are almost-graded modules over them.*

The algebra \mathcal{A} can be decomposed (as vector space) as follows:

(2.14)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \mathcal{A}_+ \oplus \mathcal{A}_{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{A}_-, \\ \mathcal{A}_+ &:= \langle A_{n,p} \mid n \geq 1, p = 1, \dots, N \rangle, \quad \mathcal{A}_- := \langle A_{n,p} \mid n \leq -K - 1, p = 1, \dots, N \rangle, \\ \mathcal{A}_{(0)} &:= \langle A_{n,p} \mid -K \leq n \leq 0, p = 1, \dots, N \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and the Lie algebra \mathcal{L} as follows:

(2.15)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_+ \oplus \mathcal{L}_{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{L}_-, \\ \mathcal{L}_+ &:= \langle e_{n,p} \mid n \geq 1, p = 1, \dots, N \rangle, \quad \mathcal{L}_- := \langle e_{n,p} \mid n \leq -L - 1, p = 1, \dots, N \rangle, \\ \mathcal{L}_{(0)} &:= \langle e_{n,p} \mid -L \leq n \leq 0, p = 1, \dots, N \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We call (2.14), (2.15) the *triangular decompositions*. In a similar way we obtain a triangular decomposition of \mathcal{D}^1 .

Due to the almost-grading the subspaces \mathcal{A}_\pm and \mathcal{L}_\pm are subalgebras but the subspaces $\mathcal{A}_{(0)}$, and $\mathcal{L}_{(0)}$ in general are not. We use the term *critical strip* for them.

Note that \mathcal{A}_+ , resp. \mathcal{L}_+ can be described as the algebra of functions (vector fields) having a zero of at least order one (two) at the points $P_i, i = 1, \dots, N$. These algebras can be enlarged by adding all elements which are regular at all P_i 's. This can be achieved by moving the set of basis elements $\{A_{0,p}, p = 1, \dots, N\}$, (resp. $\{e_{0,p}, e_{-1,p}, i = 1, \dots, N\}$) from the critical strip to these algebras. We denote the enlarged algebras by \mathcal{A}_+^* , resp. by \mathcal{L}_+^* .

On the other hand \mathcal{A}_- and \mathcal{L}_- could also be enlarged such that they contain all elements which are regular at P_∞ . This is explained in detail in [26]. We obtain \mathcal{A}_-^* and \mathcal{L}_-^* respectively. In the same way for every $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ let $\mathcal{L}_{(0)}^{(p)}$ be the subalgebra of vector fields vanishing of order $\geq p + 1$ at the point P_∞ , and $\mathcal{A}_{(0)}^{(p)}$ the subalgebra of functions respectively vanishing of order $\geq p$ at the point P_∞ . We obtain a decomposition

(2.16)

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ \oplus \mathcal{L}_{(0)}^{(p)} \oplus \mathcal{L}_-^{(p)}, \quad \text{for } p \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_+ \oplus \mathcal{A}_{(0)}^{(p)} \oplus \mathcal{A}_-^{(p)}, \quad \text{for } p \geq 1,$$

with “critical strips” $\mathcal{L}_{(0)}^{(p)}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{(0)}^{(p)}$, which are only subspaces. Of particular interest to us is $\mathcal{L}_{(0)}^{(1)}$ which we call reduced critical strip. For $g \geq 2$ its dimension is

$$(2.17) \quad \dim \mathcal{L}_{(0)}^{(1)} = N + N + (3g - 3) + 1 + 1 = 2N + 3g - 1.$$

The first two terms correspond to \mathcal{L}_0 and \mathcal{L}_{-1} . The intermediate term comes from the vector fields in the basis which have poles at the $P_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ and P_∞ . The $1 + 1$ corresponds to the vector fields in the basis with exact order zero (one) at P_∞ .

The almost-grading can easily be extended to the *higher genus current algebra* $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ by setting $\deg(x \otimes A_{n,p}) := n$. We obtain a triangular decomposition as above

$$(2.18) \quad \bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_+ \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{(0)} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_-, \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\beta = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{A}_\beta, \quad \beta \in \{-, (0), +\},$$

In particular, $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm$ are subalgebras. The corresponding is true for the enlarged subalgebras. Among them, $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^r := \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_-^{(1)} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{A}_-^{(1)}$ is of special importance. It is called the regular subalgebra.

The finite-dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} can naturally be considered as subalgebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. It lies in the subspace $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$. To see this we use $1 = \sum_{p=1}^N A_{0,p}$, see [26, Lemma 2.6].

2.5. Central extensions and 2-cohomologies.

Let \mathcal{V} be a Lie algebra and γ a Lie algebra 2-cocycle on \mathcal{V} , i.e. γ is an antisymmetric bilinear form obeying

$$(2.19) \quad \gamma([f, g], h) + \gamma([g, h], f) + \gamma([h, f], g) = 0, \quad \forall f, g, h \in \mathcal{V}.$$

On $\widehat{\mathcal{V}} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{V}$ a Lie algebra structure can be defined by (with the notation $\widehat{f} := (0, f)$ and $t := (1, 0)$)

$$(2.20) \quad [\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}] := \widehat{[f, g]} + \gamma(f, g) \cdot t, \quad [t, \widehat{\mathcal{V}}] = 0.$$

The element t is a central element. Up to equivalence central extensions are classified by the elements of $H^2(\mathcal{V}, \mathbb{C})$, the second Lie algebra cohomology space with values in the trivial module \mathbb{C} . In particular, two cocycles γ_1, γ_2 define equivalent central extensions if and only if there exist a linear form ϕ on \mathcal{V} such that

$$(2.21) \quad \gamma_1(f, g) = \gamma_2(f, g) + \phi([f, g]).$$

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{V}_n$ be an almost-graded Lie algebra. A cocycle γ for \mathcal{V} is called local (with respect to the almost-grading) if there exist $M_1, M_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$(2.22) \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}: \quad \gamma(\mathcal{V}_n, \mathcal{V}_m) \neq 0 \implies M_2 \leq n + m \leq M_1.$$

By defining $\deg(t) := 0$ the central extension $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}$ is almost-graded if and only if it is given by a local cocycle γ . In this case we call $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}$ an *almost-graded central extension* or a *local central extension*.

In the following we consider cocycles of geometric origin for the algebra introduced above. First we deal with \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{D}^1 . A thorough treatment for them is given in [23]. The proofs of the following statements and more details can be found there.

For the abelian Lie algebra \mathcal{A} any antisymmetric bilinear form will be a 2-cocycle. Let C be any (not necessarily connected) differentiable cycle in $\Sigma \setminus A$ then

$$(2.23) \quad \gamma_C^{(f)} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \gamma_C^{(f)}(g, h) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C g dh$$

is antisymmetric, hence a cocycle. Note that replacing C by any homologous (differentiable) cycle one obtains the same cocycle. The above cocycle is \mathcal{L} -invariant, i.e.

$$(2.24) \quad \gamma_C^{(f)}(e.g, h) = \gamma_C^{(f)}(e.h, g), \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{L}, \forall g, h \in \mathcal{A}.$$

For the vector field algebra \mathcal{L} we want, following [10], to generalize the standard Virasoro-Gelfand-Fuks cocycle to higher genus. To this end, we have first to choose a projective connection. It will allow us to add a counter term to the integrand to obtain a well-defined 1-differential. Let R be a global holomorphic projective connection, see e.g. [26] for the definition. For every cycle C (and every R) a cocycle is given by

$$(2.25) \quad \gamma_{C,R}^{(v)}(e, f) := \frac{1}{24\pi i} \int_C \left(\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{e}''' \tilde{f} - \tilde{e} \tilde{f}''') - R \cdot (\tilde{e}' \tilde{f} - \tilde{e} \tilde{f}') \right) dz.$$

Here $e| = \tilde{e} \frac{d}{dz}$ and $f| = \tilde{f} \frac{d}{dz}$ with local meromorphic functions \tilde{e} and \tilde{f} . A different choice of the projective connection (even if we allow meromorphic projective connections with poles only at the points in A) yields a cohomologous cocycle, hence an equivalent central extension.

These two types of cocycles can be extended to cocycles of \mathcal{D}^1 by setting them to be zero if one of the entries is from the complementary space. For the vector field cocycles this is clear, for the function algebra cocycles the \mathcal{L} -invariance (2.24) is crucial. But there are other independent types of cocycles which mix functions with vector fields. To define them we first have to fix an affine connection T which is holomorphic outside A and has at most a pole of order one at P_∞ . For the definition and existence of an affine connection, see [20], [31], [23]. Now

$$(2.26) \quad \gamma_{C,T}^{(m)}(e, g) := -\gamma_{C,T}^{(m)}(g, e) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C (\tilde{e} \cdot g'' + T \cdot (\tilde{e} \cdot g')) dz$$

is a 2-cocycle. Again, the cohomology class does not depend on the chosen affine connection.

Next we consider cocycles obtained by integrating over a separating cycle C_S . Instead of γ_{C_S} we will use γ_S . Clearly, these cocycles can be expressed via residues at the points in I or equivalently at the point P_∞ .

Proposition 2.4. [20] *The above cocycles if integrated over a separating cycle C_S are local. In each case the upper bound is given by zero.*

If we replace R or T by other meromorphic connections which have poles only at A , the cocycles still will be local. The upper and lower bounds might

change. If the poles are of at most order two for the projective connection, or order one for the affine connection at the points in I , then the upper bounds will remain zero. Note that such a change of the connection can always be given by adding elements from \mathcal{F}^2 or \mathcal{F}^1 to R and T respectively.

As explained above we obtain almost-graded extensions $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$, $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}^1$ via these cocycles. By the vanishing of the cocycles (at least if R is holomorphic) on the subalgebras \mathcal{A}_\pm and \mathcal{L}_\pm the subalgebras can be identified in a natural way with the subalgebras $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_\pm$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\pm$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$, resp. $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$.

One of the main results of [23] is

Theorem 2.5. (a) *Every local cocycle of \mathcal{A} which is \mathcal{L} -invariant is a multiple of the cocycle $\gamma_S^{(f)}$. The cocycle $\gamma_S^{(f)}$ is cohomologically non-trivial.*

(b) *Every local cocycle of \mathcal{L} is cohomologous to a scalar multiple of $\gamma_{S,R}^{(v)}$. The cocycle $\gamma_{S,R}^{(v)}$ defines a non-trivial cohomology class. For every cohomologically non-trivial local cocycle a meromorphic projective connection R' which is holomorphic outside of A can be chosen such that the cocycle is equal to a scalar multiple of $\gamma_{S,R'}^{(v)}$.*

(c) *Every local cocycle for \mathcal{D}^1 is a linear combination of the above introduced cocycles $\gamma_S^{(f)}$, $\gamma_{S,R}^{(v)}$ and $\gamma_{S,T}^{(m)}$ up to coboundary, i.e.*

$$(2.27) \quad \gamma = r_1 \gamma_S^{(f)} + r_2 \gamma_{S,T}^{(m)} + r_3 \gamma_{S,R}^{(v)} + \text{coboundary}, \quad r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The 3 basic cocycles are linearly independent in the cohomology space. If the scalars r_2 and r_3 in the linear combination are non-zero, then a meromorphic projective connection R' and an affine connections T' , both holomorphic outside A , can be found such that $\gamma = r_1 \gamma_S^{(f)} + r_2 \gamma_{S,T'}^{(m)} + r_3 \gamma_{S,R'}^{(v)}$.

2.6. Affine algebras.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a reductive finite-dimensional Lie algebra. Above, we introduced the current algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ together with its almost-grading. In this subsection we study central extensions of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$. Given an invariant, symmetric bilinear form $\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)$, i.e. a form obeying $\alpha([x, y], z) = \alpha(x, [y, z])$ we define as generalization of the Kac-Moody algebras of affine type the *higher genus (multi-point) affine Lie algebra*. We call it also a *Krichever-Novikov algebra of affine type*. It is the Lie algebra based on the vector space $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ equipped with Lie structure

$$(2.28) \quad [\widehat{x \otimes f}, \widehat{y \otimes g}] = [\widehat{x, y}] \otimes (fg) + \alpha(x, y) \cdot \gamma_{C_S}(f, g) \cdot t, \quad [t, \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}] = 0,$$

where

$$(2.29) \quad \gamma_{C_S}(f, g) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} f dg$$

is the geometric cocycle for the function algebra obtained by integration along a separating cycle C_S . We denote this central extension by $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha, S}$. It will depend on the bilinear form α . As usual we set $\widehat{x \otimes f} := (0, x \otimes f)$. The cocycle defining the central extension $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha, S}$ is local. Hence we can extend our almost-grading to

the central extension by setting $\deg t := 0$ and $\deg(\widehat{x \otimes A_{n,p}}) := n$. Again we obtain a triangular decomposition

$$(2.30) \quad \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha,S} = \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_+ \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{(0)} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_- \quad \text{with} \quad \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\pm} \cong \overline{\mathfrak{g}}_{\pm} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{(0)} = \overline{\mathfrak{g}}_{(0)} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot t.$$

The corresponding is true for the enlarged algebras. Among them,

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}^r := \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_-^{(1)} = \overline{\mathfrak{g}}_-^{(1)} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{A}_-^{(1)}, \quad \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_+^{*,ext} = \overline{\mathfrak{g}}_+^* \oplus \mathbb{C} t = (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{A}_+^*) \oplus \mathbb{C} t.$$

are of special interest.

Instead integrating over a separating cycle in (2.29) we could take as integration path any other cycle C and obtain in this way other central extensions $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha,C}$. In general $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha,C}$ will neither be equivalent nor isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha,S}$. In addition, there is no a priori reason why every cocycle defining a central extension of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ should be of this type, i.e. should be obtained by choosing an invariant symmetric bilinear form α and integrating the differential fdg over a cycle.

Before we can formulate the results needed in our context we have to extend the definition of \mathcal{L} -invariance to $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Definition 2.6. A cocycle γ of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ is called \mathcal{L} -invariant if

$$(2.31) \quad \gamma(x(e.g), y(h)) + \gamma(x(g), y(e.h)) = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, e \in \mathcal{L}, g, h \in \mathcal{A}.$$

The cocycles introduced above are obviously \mathcal{L} -invariant.

Theorem 2.7. [24, Thm. 3.13, Cor. 3.14] (a) *Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra, then every local cocycle of the current algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ is cohomologous to a cocycle given by*

$$(2.32) \quad \gamma(x \otimes f, y \otimes g) = r \cdot \frac{\beta(x, y)}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} f dg, \quad \text{with } r \in \mathbb{C},$$

and with β the Cartan-Killing form of \mathfrak{g} . In particular, γ is cohomologous to a local and \mathcal{L} -invariant cocycle.

(b) *If the cocycle is already local and \mathcal{L} -invariant, then it coincides with the cocycle (2.32) with $r \in \mathbb{C}$ suitable chosen.*

(c) *For \mathfrak{g} simple, up to equivalence and rescaling of the central element there is a unique non-trivial almost-graded central extension $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of its higher genus multi-point current algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$. It is given by the cocycle (2.32).*

Next, let \mathfrak{g} be an arbitrary complex reductive finite-dimensional Lie algebra, and

$$(2.33) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_M$$

be its decomposition into its abelian ideal \mathfrak{g}_0 and simple ideals $\mathfrak{g}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_M$. For the corresponding current algebra we obtain $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} = \overline{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{g}}_M$.

Theorem 2.8. [24, Thm. 3.20] (a) *Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebra. Given a cocycle γ for $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ which is local, and whose restriction to $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ is*

\mathcal{L} -invariant, there exists a symmetric invariant bilinear form α for \mathfrak{g} such that γ is cohomologous to

$$(2.34) \quad \gamma'_{\alpha,S}(x \otimes f, y \otimes g) = \frac{\alpha(x, y)}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} f dg.$$

Vice versa, every such α determines a local cocycle.

(b) If the cocycle γ is already local and \mathcal{L} -invariant for the whole $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, then it coincides with the cocycle $\gamma'_{\alpha,S}$.

(c)

$$(2.35) \quad \dim H_{loc, \mathcal{L}}^2(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbb{C}) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + M.$$

Here $H_{loc, \mathcal{L}}^2(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbb{C})$ denotes the subspace of those cohomology classes which have a local and \mathcal{L} -invariant cocycle as representative.

2.7. Central extensions of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$.

Recall that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1 = \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathcal{L}$ with $[e, xA] = x(e.A)$, see (2.4). There is the short exact sequence of Lie algebras

$$(2.36) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \xrightarrow{i_1} \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1 \xrightarrow{p_2} \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow 0.$$

First note again that by using the almost-grading of \mathcal{L} and $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ and by using the fact that \mathcal{A} is an almost-graded \mathcal{L} -module the algebra $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ is an almost-graded algebra. Again, in [24] local cocycles γ and central extensions of this algebra are considered. By restricting a local cocycle for $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ to the subalgebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ one obtains a local cocycle for $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. In the semi-simple case we obtain

Theorem 2.9. (a) Let \mathfrak{g} be a semi-simple Lie algebra and γ a local cocycle of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$. Then there exists a symmetric invariant bilinear form α for \mathfrak{g} such that γ is cohomologous to a linear combination of the local cocycle $\gamma_{\alpha,S}$ given by (2.34) and of the local cocycle $\gamma_{S,R}^{(v)}$ (2.25) for $C = C_S$ of the vector field algebra \mathcal{L} .

(b) If \mathfrak{g} is a simple Lie algebra, then $\gamma_{\alpha,S}$ is a multiple of the standard cocycle (2.32) for \mathfrak{g} .

(c) $\dim H_{loc}^2(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1, \mathbb{C}) = M + 1$, where M is the number of simple summands of \mathfrak{g} .

In the reductive case it turns out that a cocycle of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ restricted to the abelian summand $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ is \mathcal{L} -invariant. In generalization of the mixing cocycle for \mathcal{D}^1 we obtain for every linear form $\phi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ which vanishes on $\mathfrak{g}' := [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_M$ a local cocycle given by

$$(2.37) \quad \gamma_{\phi,S}(e, x(g)) := \frac{\phi(x)}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} (\tilde{e} \cdot g'' + T \cdot (\tilde{e} \cdot g')) dz,$$

Here again T is a meromorphic connection with poles only at the points in A .

Theorem 2.10. [24, Thm. 4.11] (a) Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional reductive Lie algebra. For every local cocycle γ for $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ there exists a symmetric invariant

bilinear form α of \mathfrak{g} , and a linear form ϕ of \mathfrak{g} which vanishes on \mathfrak{g}' , such that γ is cohomologous to

$$(2.38) \quad \gamma' = \gamma_{\alpha,S} + \gamma_{\phi,S} + r\gamma_{S,R}^{(v)},$$

with $r \in \mathbb{C}$ and a current algebra cocycle $\gamma_{\alpha,S}$ given by (2.34), a mixing cocycle $\gamma_{\phi,S}$ given by (2.37) and the vector field cocycle $\gamma_{S,R}^{(v)}$ given by (2.25). Vice versa, any such $\alpha, \phi, r \in \mathbb{C}$ determine a local cocycle.

(b) The space of local cocycles $H_{loc}^2(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1, \mathbb{C})$ is $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + n + M + 1$ dimensional.

2.8. Local cocycles for $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$.

Consider $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$, the Lie algebra of trace-less complex $n \times n$ matrices. Up to multiplication with a scalar the Cartan-Killing form $\beta(x, y) = \text{tr}(xy)$ is the unique symmetric invariant bilinear form. From the Theorems 2.7 and 2.9 follows

Proposition 2.11. (a) Every local cocycle for the current algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{sl}}(n)$ is cohomologous to

$$(2.39) \quad \gamma(x(g), y(h)) = r \cdot \frac{\text{tr}(xy)}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} gdh, \quad r \in \mathbb{C}.$$

(b) Every \mathcal{L} -invariant local cocycle equals the cocycle (2.39) with a suitable r .
(c) Every local cocycle for the differential operator algebra $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}(n)}^1$ is cohomologous to a linear combination of (2.39) and the standard local cocycle $\gamma_{S,R}^{(v)}$ for the vector field algebra. In particular, there exist no cocycles of pure mixing type.

Next, we deal with $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$, the Lie algebra of all complex $n \times n$ -matrices. Recall that $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ can be written as Lie algebra direct sum $\mathfrak{gl}(n) = \mathfrak{s}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(n) \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(n)$. Here $\mathfrak{s}(n)$ denotes the $n \times n$ scalar matrices. This decomposition is the decomposition as reductive Lie algebra into its abelian and semi-simple summand.

The space of symmetric invariant bilinear forms for $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ is two-dimensional. A basis is given by the forms

$$(2.40) \quad \alpha_1(x, y) = \text{tr}(xy), \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2(x, y) = \text{tr}(x)\text{tr}(y).$$

The form α_1 is the “standard” extension for the Cartan-Killing form for $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ to $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ and is also $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ invariant. From the Theorems 2.8 and 2.10 follows

Proposition 2.12. (a) A cocycle γ for $\overline{\mathfrak{gl}}(n)$ is local and restricted to $\overline{\mathfrak{s}(n)}$ is \mathcal{L} -invariant if and only if it is cohomologous to a linear combination of the following two cocycles

$$(2.41) \quad \gamma_1(x(g), y(h)) = \frac{\text{tr}(xy)}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} gdh, \quad \gamma_2(x(g), y(h)) = \frac{\text{tr}(x)\text{tr}(y)}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} gdh.$$

(b) If the local cocycle γ restricted to $\overline{\mathfrak{gl}}(n)$ is \mathcal{L} -invariant then γ is already equal to this linear combination of the cocycles (2.41).

Proposition 2.13. (a) Every local cocycle γ for $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{gl}(n)}^1$ is cohomologous to a linear combination of the cocycles γ_1 and γ_2 of (2.41), of the mixing cocycle

$$(2.42) \quad \gamma_{3,T}(e, x(g)) = \frac{\text{tr}(x)}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} (\tilde{e}g'' + T\tilde{e}g') dz,$$

and of the standard local cocycle $\gamma_{S,R}^{(v)}$ for the vector field algebra, i.e.

$$(2.43) \quad \gamma = r_1\gamma_1 + r_2\gamma_2 + r_3\gamma_{3,T} + r_4\gamma_{S,R}^{(v)} + \text{coboundary},$$

with suitable $r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \in \mathbb{C}$.

(b) If the cocycle γ is local and restricted to $\overline{\mathfrak{gl}}(n)$ is \mathcal{L} -invariant, and $r_3, r_4 \neq 0$ then there exist an affine connection T and a projective connection R holomorphic outside A such that in the combination (2.43) there will be no additional coboundary.

(c) $\dim H_{loc}^2(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{gl}(n)}^1, \mathbb{C}) = 4$.

It turns out that for γ as a cocycle of the differential operator algebra its restriction to $\overline{\mathfrak{gl}}(n)$ is cohomologous to an \mathcal{L} -invariant cocycle. Moreover $\gamma|_{\mathfrak{sl}(n)}$ is already \mathcal{L} -invariant, see [24, Prop. 4.10].

The mixing type cocycle for $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ was also studied in [31] .

3. REPRESENTATIONS OF THE MULTI-POINT KRICHEVER-NOVIKOV ALGEBRAS

3.1. Projective $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ -modules.

Let \mathcal{V} be an arbitrary Lie algebra, V a vector space and $\pi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ a linear map. The space V is called a *projective \mathcal{V} -module* if for all pairs $f, g \in \mathcal{V}$ there exists $\gamma(f, g) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \pi([f, g]) = [\pi(f), \pi(g)] + \gamma(f, g) \cdot id.$$

In this case π is also called a projective action. Often we write simply $f v$ instead $\pi(f)(v)$.

If V is a projective \mathcal{V} -module then γ is necessarily a Lie algebra 2-cocycle. Via Equation (2.20) the cocycle, hence the projective action π , defines a central extension $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_\gamma = \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_\pi$ of \mathcal{V} . Obviously, by defining $\pi(t) = id$, the projective action can be extended to a honest Lie action of $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}_\gamma$ on V . Usually, we suppress the index π if it is clear from the context. One should keep in mind that the cocycle defining $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}$ and (without further restriction on the projective action) also the equivalence and even isomorphy class of the central extension will depend on the projective module action given. In certain cases considered below we will be able to identify the cocycle.

Let \mathcal{V} be an almost-graded Lie algebra. A projective \mathcal{V} -module V is called *admissible* if for each $v \in V$ there exists a $k(v) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{V}$ with $\deg f \geq k(v)$ we have $f v = 0$. By a projective almost-graded module V over an almost-graded algebra \mathcal{V} we understand an almost-graded module structure on V with respect to the projective action of \mathcal{V} such that the corresponding cocycle is local (see Definition 2.3). We call an almost-graded module V a

highest weight (vacuum) module if an element $|0\rangle \in V$ exists such that $f|0\rangle = 0$ for every f with $\deg f > 0$ and $V = U(\mathcal{V})|0\rangle$ where $U(\cdot)$ denotes the universal enveloping algebra. The element $|0\rangle$ is called a vacuum vector. Obviously, vacuum modules are admissible.

Our Lie algebra will be mainly $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$. We will assume V to be an admissible projective almost-graded $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ -module (we will write *projective representation of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$* as well). Recall that via the embedding (2.36) the space V is also a projective $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module. The most important examples of such modules, which, moreover, are generated by vacuum vectors, are the fermion representations which will be discussed in the next subsection.

In fact, we will be mainly interested in the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n)$. In particular, the fermion modules (of fixed charge) are irreducible in this case. Observe that for the constructions of the following Section 4 we need only a (projective) $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module structure on V , and we will assume the irreducibility of this module.

3.2. Fermion representations.

In this paragraph, we briefly outline the construction of a projective fermion $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ -module [30, 31, 33]. It uses the Krichever-Novikov bases in the spaces of sections of holomorphic vector bundles as an important ingredient. These bases are introduced in [13] for the two-point case, and, combining the approaches of [13] and Section 2.3 (going back to [17, 18]) generalized in [33] to the multi-point case. We refer to the cited works for the details. Here we only mention that these bases are given by asymptotic behavior of their elements at the marked points and their properties are similar to those described in Section 2.3. In particular, these bases are almost graded with respect to the action of Krichever-Novikov algebras.

Consider a holomorphic bundle F on Σ of rank r and degree $g \cdot r$ (g is the genus of our Riemann surface Σ). Let $\Gamma(F)$ denote the space of meromorphic sections of F holomorphic except at $P_1, \dots, P_N, P_\infty$. Let τ be a finite-dimensional representation of \mathfrak{g} with representation space V_τ . Set $\Gamma_{F,\tau} := \Gamma(F) \otimes V_\tau$.

We define a $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ -action on $\Gamma_{F,\tau}$ as follows:

$$(3.2) \quad (x \otimes A)(s \otimes v) = (A \cdot s) \otimes \tau(x)v \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathfrak{g}, A \in \mathcal{A}, s \in \Gamma(F), v \in V_\tau.$$

In order to define an \mathcal{L} -action on $\Gamma(F)$ we choose a meromorphic (therefore flat) connection ∇ on F which has logarithmic singularities at P_1, \dots, P_N and P_∞ (see [31] for more details). By flatness, $\nabla_{[e,f]} = [\nabla_e, \nabla_f]$ for all $e, f \in \mathcal{L}$. Hence, ∇ defines a representation of \mathcal{L} in $\Gamma(F)$.

From the definition of a connection, for any $s \in \Gamma(F)$, $e \in \mathcal{L}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\nabla_e(As) = (e.A)s + A\nabla_e s$ where $e.A$ is the Lie derivative. Hence, $[\nabla_e, A] = e.A$, i.e. the mapping $e + A \rightarrow \nabla_e + A$ gives rise to a representation of \mathcal{D}^1 in $\Gamma(F)$.

Define the corresponding \mathcal{L} -action on $\Gamma_{F,\tau}$ by

$$(3.3) \quad e(s \otimes v) = \nabla_e s \otimes v \quad \text{for all } e \in \mathcal{L}, s \in \Gamma(F), v \in V_\tau.$$

It can be verified directly that (3.2) and (3.3) give a representation of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ in $\Gamma_{F,\tau}$.

Choose a Krichever-Novikov basis in $\Gamma(F)$ [33] and a weight basis $\{v_i | 1 \leq i \leq \dim V_\tau\}$ in V_τ . Each basis element in $\Gamma(F)$ is determined by its *degree* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the number p of a marked point: $1 \leq p \leq N$, and an integer j : $0 \leq j \leq r-1$. Denote the element corresponding to a triple (n, p, j) by $\psi_{n,p,j}$. Introduce $\psi_{n,p,j}^i = \psi_{n,p,j} \otimes v_i$. Enumerate the elements $\psi_{n,p,j}^i$ linearly in ascending lexicographical order of the quadruples n, p, j, i . In this way we set $\psi_M = \psi_{n,p,j}^i$ where $M = M(n, p, j, i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is in linear order.

Lemma 3.1. [33] *With respect to the index M , the module $\Gamma_{F,\tau}$ is an almost-graded $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ -module.*

The proof of the almost-gradedness is similar to that in the two-point case [30, 31].

The final step of the construction of the fermion representation corresponding to the pair (F, τ) is passing to the space of the semi-infinite monomials on $\Gamma_{F,\tau}$.

Consider the vector space $\mathcal{H}_{F,\tau}$ generated over \mathbb{C} by the formal expressions (*semi-infinite monomials*) of the form $\Phi = \psi_{N_0} \wedge \psi_{N_1} \wedge \dots$, where the ψ_{N_i} are the above introduced basis elements of $\Gamma_{F,\tau}$, the indices are strictly increasing, i.e. $N_0 < N_1 < \dots$, and for all k sufficiently large $N_k = k + m$ for a suitable m (depending on the monomial). Following [8] we call m *the charge* of the monomial. For a monomial Φ of charge m the degree of Φ is defined as follows:

$$(3.4) \quad \deg \Phi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (N_k - k - m).$$

Observe that there is an arbitrariness in the enumeration of the $\psi_{n,p,j}^i$'s for a fixed n ; the just defined degree of a monomial does not depend on this arbitrariness.

We want to extend the action of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ on $\Gamma_{F,\tau}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{F,\tau}$. Here, we briefly outline the construction; see [30, 31] for details. Assuming the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ -action on ψ_{N_i} 's to be known, apply a basis element of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ to Φ by the Leibnitz rule. If, in the process, a monomial containing the same ψ_N in different positions occurs, it is set to zero. If a pair $\psi_N \wedge \psi_{N'}$ in a wrong order ($N > N'$) occurs then it should be transposed and the sign before the corresponding monomial changes. This is done until all entries are in the strictly increasing order. Due to the almost-gradedness of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ -action on $\Gamma_{F,\tau}$ and the above mentioned stabilization ($N_k = k + m$, $k \sim \infty$), the result of the above steps is well defined for all basis elements except for the finite number. For those, apply the standard *process of regularization* [8, 11, 12, 20]. In this way the action on $\Gamma_{F,\tau}$ can be extended to $\mathcal{H}_{F,\tau}$ as a projective Lie algebra action.

Let $\mathcal{H}_{F,\tau}^{(m)}$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{H}_{F,\tau}$ generated by the semi-infinite monomials of charge m . These subspaces are invariant under the projective action of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$.

This follows, as in the classical situation, from the fact that after the action of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ the resulting monomials will have the same “length” and the same “tail” as the monomial one has started with. Hence, for every m the space $\mathcal{H}_{F,\tau}^{(m)}$ is itself a projective $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ -module, and $\mathcal{H}_{F,\tau} = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_{F,\tau}^{(m)}$ as projective $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ -module. We call the modules $\mathcal{H}_{F,\tau}, \mathcal{H}_{F,\tau}^{(m)}$ (projective) *fermion representations*.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{H}_{F,\tau}^{(m)}$ be the submodule of $\mathcal{H}_{F,\tau}$ of charge m .*

- (a) *With respect to the degree (3.4) the homogeneous subspaces $(\mathcal{H}_{F,\tau}^{(m)})_k$ of degree k are finite-dimensional. If $k > 0$ then $(\mathcal{H}_{F,\tau}^{(m)})_k = 0$.*
- (b) *The cocycle γ for $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ defined by the projective representation is local. It is bounded from above by zero.*
- (c) *The module $\mathcal{H}_{F,\tau}^{(m)}$ is an almost-graded projective $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ -module.*

Proof. (a) From the very definition of the degree it follows that the degree k is always ≤ 0 . Notice that all summands in (3.4) are ≤ 0 . Hence for a given k only finitely many semi-infinite monomials of charge m can realize this k .

(b) and (c) follow as in the two-point case, [30, 31]. \square

From this proposition and the classification results of Theorem 2.10 we obtain

Proposition 3.3. *The module $\mathcal{H}_{F,\tau}^{(m)}$ is a Lie module over a certain central extension $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{g}}^1$ defined via a local cocycle of the form (2.38).*

Recall that by restricting the action to $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ we obtain an admissible projective representation and hence an almost graded central extension $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. In particular, for \mathfrak{g} reductive this restriction is cohomologous to an \mathcal{L} -invariant cocycle, i.e. it is cohomologous to a geometric cocycle of the type (2.28) with a suitable invariant symmetric bilinear form α (see Theorem 2.8). For the special case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n)$ the cocycle classes are given in Proposition 2.12 and 2.13.

Under an admissible representation V of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ we understand a representation admissible with respect to the almost-grading (in the sense introduced above) as projective representation of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ in which the central element t operates as a scalar $c \cdot id$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$. The number c is called the *level* of the $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module V . It follows immediately

Proposition 3.4. *$\mathcal{H}_{F,\tau}^{(m)}$ carries an admissible representation of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$.*

3.3. Sugawara representation.

First let \mathfrak{g} be any finite dimensional reductive Lie algebra. We fix an invariant symmetric bilinear form α on \mathfrak{g} . Starting from this section we have to assume that α is non-degenerate. By $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ we denote the standard central extension (depending on the bilinear form α) as introduced in Section 2.6 (see Equations (2.28) and (2.29)) together with its almost-grading. Let V be any admissible representation of level c .

If \mathfrak{g} is abelian or simple then each admissible representation of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of non-critical level, i.e. for a level which is not the negative of the dual Coxeter number in the simple case, or a level $\neq 0$ in the abelian case, the (affine) Sugawara construction yields a projective representation of the Krichever-Novikov vector field algebra \mathcal{L} . This representation is called the *Sugawara representation*. For the two-point case, the abelian version of this construction was introduced in [11]. The nonabelian case was later considered in [2], [25]. In [25] also the multi-point version was given. Observe that every positive level is non-critical. For an arbitrary complex reductive Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} the Sugawara representation is defined as a certain linear combination of Sugawara representations of its simple ideals (V.Kac [7], [8, Lecture 10]).

Due to its importance in our context, we have to describe the construction in more detail. For any $u \in \mathfrak{g}$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we denote by $u(A)$ the operator in V corresponding to $u \otimes A$. We also denote an element of the form $u(A_{n,p})$ by $u(n, p)$. We choose a basis u_i , $i = 1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}$ of \mathfrak{g} and the corresponding dual basis u^i , $i = 1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the form α . The *Casimir element* $\Omega^0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} u_i u^i$ of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is independent of the choice of the basis. To simplify notation, we denote $\sum_i u_i(n, p) u^i(m, q)$ by $u(n, p) u(m, q)$.

We define the higher genus *Sugawara operator* (also called *Segal operator* or *energy-momentum tensor*) as

$$(3.5) \quad T(P) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n,m} \sum_{p,s} :u(n, p) u(m, s): \omega^{n,p}(P) \omega^{m,s}(P) .$$

By $: \dots :$ we denote some normal ordering. In this section the summation indices n, m run over \mathbb{Z} , and p, s over $\{1, \dots, N\}$. The precise form of the normal ordering is of no importance here. As an example we may take the following “standard normal ordering” ($x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$)

$$(3.6) \quad :x(n, p) y(m, r): := \begin{cases} x(n, p) y(m, r), & n \leq m \\ y(m, r) x(n, p), & n > m . \end{cases}$$

The expression $T(P)$ can be considered as a formal series of quadratic differentials in the variable P with operator-valued coefficients. Expanding it over the basis $\Omega^{k,r}$ of the quadratic differentials we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad T(P) = \sum_k \sum_r L_{k,r} \cdot \Omega^{k,r}(P) ,$$

with

$$(3.8) \quad L_{k,r} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} T(P) e_{k,r}(P) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n,m} \sum_{p,s} :u(n, p) u(m, s): l_{(k,r)}^{(n,p)(m,s)} ,$$

where $l_{(k,r)}^{(n,p)(m,s)} := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} \omega^{n,p}(P) \omega^{m,s}(P) e_{k,r}(P) .$

Formally, the operators $L_{k,r}$ are infinite double sums. But for given k and m , the coefficient $l_{(k,r)}^{(n,p)(m,s)}$ will be non-zero only for finitely many n . This can be seen by checking the residues of the elements appearing under the integral. After applying the remaining infinite sum to a fixed element $v \in V$, by the normal ordering and admissibility of the representation only finitely many of the operators will operate non-trivially on this element.

The following theorem is proved in [25].

Theorem 3.5. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional either abelian or simple Lie algebra and $2k$ be the eigenvalue of its Casimir operator in the adjoint representation. Let α be the normalized Cartan Killing form in the simple case or any non-degenerate bilinear form in the abelian case, and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the corresponding central extension. Let V be an admissible almost-graded $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module of level c . If $c + k \neq 0$ then the rescaled “modes”*

$$(3.9) \quad L_{k,r}^* = \frac{-1}{2(c+k)} \sum_{n,m} \sum_{p,s} :u(n,p)u(m,s): l_{(k,r)}^{(n,p)(m,s)},$$

of the Sugawara operator are well-defined operators on V and define an admissible projective representation of \mathcal{L} . The corresponding cocycle for the vector field algebra \mathcal{L} is a local cocycle.

Remark. By the locality of the cocycle and in view of Theorem 2.5 the cocycle is a scalar multiple of (2.25) with $C = C_S$. In particular, the central extension $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ for which the Sugawara representation is a honest representation, is fixed up to isomorphy.

Proposition 3.6. *The module V is an almost-graded $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ -module under the Sugawara action.*

Proof. We have to show that there exist constants M_1, M_2 such that for every given homogeneous element $\psi_s \in V$ of degree s , and every k we have

$$(3.10) \quad k + s + M_1 \leq \deg(L_{k,r}^* \psi_s) \leq k + s + M_2.$$

Starting from the description (3.9) we consider first the coefficients $l_{(k,r)}^{(n,p)(m,s)}$, which are given as integrals (3.8). The integral could only be non-vanishing if the integrand has poles at the points in I and at the point P_∞ . Using the explicit formulas (2.8) and (2.10) for the orders at these points we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad k \leq n + m \leq k + C(g, N),$$

with a rational constant $C(g, N) \geq 0$ only depending on the genus g and the number of points N . By the almost-gradedness of V as $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module, there exist constants c_1 and c_2 such that for all m, r, s

$$(3.12) \quad m + s + c_1 \leq \deg(u(m, r)\psi_s) \leq m + s + c_2,$$

if the element $u(m, r)\psi_s \neq 0$. Hence,

$$(3.13) \quad n + m + s + 2c_1 \leq \deg(:u(n, p)u(m, r): \psi_s) \leq n + m + s + 2c_2,$$

if the element $:u(n, p)u(m, r): \psi_s \neq 0$. Using (3.11) we obtain Equation (3.10) if we set $M_1 = 2c_1$ and $M_2 = 2c_2 + C(g, N)$. \square

We call the $L_{k,r}^*$, resp. the $L_{k,r}$ the Sugawara operators too. For $e = \sum_{n,p} a_{n,p} e_{n,p} \in \mathcal{L}$ ($a_{n,p} \in \mathbb{C}$) we set $T[e] = \sum_{n,p} a_{n,p} L_{n,p}^*$ and obtain the projective representation T of \mathcal{L} . It is called the projective *Sugawara representation* of the Lie algebra \mathcal{L} corresponding to the given admissible representation V of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

By the Krichever-Novikov duality the Sugawara operator $T[e]$ assigned to the vector field $e \in \mathcal{L}$ can equivalently be given as

$$(3.14) \quad T[e] = \frac{-1}{c+k} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_S} T(P) e(P).$$

Let \mathfrak{g} be a reductive Lie algebra with decomposition (2.33). The elements $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ can be decomposed as $x = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i$, with $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_i$. Let α be a symmetric invariant bilinear form for \mathfrak{g} . With respect to this decomposition from the invariance follows $\alpha(x_i, x_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$, i.e. the decomposition is an orthogonal decomposition. By restricting α to \mathfrak{g}_i we obtain a symmetric invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{g}_i , hence a multiple of the Cartan-Killing form (resp. an arbitrary symmetric bilinear form on \mathfrak{g}_0). A non-degenerate form is called *normalized* if the restrictions of α for the simple summands is equal to the Cartan-Killing form.

Let γ be a local cocycle for $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ for \mathfrak{g} reductive, $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, and $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. It follows from the cocycle condition (see [24, Lemma 3.11]) that $\gamma(x_i A, y_j B) = 0$ for $i \neq j$ (with the same decomposition for y as for x above). This implies

$$(3.15) \quad \gamma(xA, yB) = \sum_{i=0}^M \gamma(x_i A, y_i B).$$

Given an admissible representation V of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ we obtain representations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_i$ on the same space V . We can define the individual (rescaled) Sugawara operators $T_k[e]$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, M$. We set

$$(3.16) \quad T[e] := \sum_{k=0}^M T_k[e].$$

The following lemma expresses a fundamental property of the Sugawara representation. It was shown in [25] for the abelian and simple case. Here we will show how to extend the result to the general reductive case.

Lemma 3.7. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a reductive Lie algebra with a chosen normalized form α , and $T[e]$ for every $e \in \mathcal{L}$ the operator as defined above. Then T defines a representation of the centrally extended vector field algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ and for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $e \in \mathcal{L}$, we have*

$$(3.17) \quad [T[e], x(A)] = x(e.A).$$

Proof. Given $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ denote by x_i and y_j its components as above. Then $[x_i(A), y_j(B)] = [x_i, y_j](AB) + \gamma(x_i A, y_j B) c \cdot id$. In particular, if $i \neq j$ we obtain that x_i and x_j commute and that the cocycle vanishes. Hence these elements commute also. Let T_k , be the Sugawara representation corresponding to the representation V of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_k$. Since the operators of the representations T_i are expressed via $x_i(A)$'s and operators of T_j via $x_j(A)$'s, T_i and T_j commute for $i \neq j$. Hence, T is a representation of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$. Moreover, for $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_i$, $x_j \in \mathfrak{g}_j$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $e \in \mathcal{L}$ we have $[T_j[e], x_i(A)] = 0$. For \mathfrak{g} simple or abelian, (3.17) is shown in [25] (see also [26]). Hence,

$$[T_k[e], x_k(A)] = x_k(e.A), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, M.$$

This implies

$$[T[e], x(A)] = \left[\sum_{k=0}^M T_k[e], \sum_{i=0}^M x_i(A) \right] = \sum_{i=0}^M x_i(e.A) = x(e.A).$$

□

Having only one simple summand for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n)$ the condition that the form α is normalized on its simple summands can always be achieved by rescaling the level. In case of the fermion representations for $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ we obtain that for these representations relation (3.17) is true.

4. MODULI OF CURVES WITH MARKED POINTS, CONFORMAL BLOCKS AND PROJECTIVE FLAT CONNECTION

4.1. Moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(k,p)}$ and the sheaf of conformal blocks.

In [26] we described the moduli spaces of curves which typically occur in 2d conformal field theories. Here we will slightly extend the definitions introduced there. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(k,p)}$ the moduli space of smooth projective curves of genus g (over \mathbb{C}) with $N+1$ ordered distinct marked points and fixed k -jets of local coordinates at the first N points and a fixed p -jet of a local coordinate at the last point. The elements of $\mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(k,p)}$ are given as

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{b}^{(k,p)} = [\Sigma, P_1, \dots, P_N, P_\infty, z_1^{(k)}, \dots, z_N^{(k)}, z_\infty^{(p)}],$$

where Σ is a smooth projective curve of genus g , P_i , $i = 1, \dots, N, \infty$ are distinct points on Σ , z_i is a coordinate at P_i with $z_i(P_i) = 0$, and $z_i^{(l)}$ is a l -jet of z_i ($l \in \mathbb{N}_0$). Here $[\dots]$ denotes an equivalence class of such tuples in the following sense. Two tuples representing $\tilde{b}^{(k,p)}$ and $\tilde{b}^{(k,p)'} are equivalent if there exists an algebraic isomorphism $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'$ with $\phi(P_i) = P'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, N, \infty$ such that after the identification via ϕ we have$

$$(4.2) \quad z'_i = z_i + O(z_i^{k+1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad \text{and} \quad z'_\infty = z_\infty + O(z_\infty^{p+1}).$$

For the following two special cases we introduce the same notation as in [26]: $\mathcal{M}_{g,N+1} = \mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(0,0)}$, and $\mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(1)} = \mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(1,1)}$. By forgetting either coordinates

or higher order jets we obtain natural projections

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(1,p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(k,p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(k',p')}$$

for any $k' \leq k$ and $p' \leq p$. In this article (as well as in the previous one [26]) we are only dealing with the local situation in the neighborhood of a moduli point corresponding to a generic curve Σ with a generic marking $(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_N, P_\infty)$. Let $\widetilde{W} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}$ be an open subset around such a generic point $\tilde{b} = [\Sigma, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_N, P_\infty]$. A generic curve of $g \geq 2$ admits no nontrivial infinitesimal automorphism, and we may assume that there exists a universal family of curves with marked points over \widetilde{W} . In particular, this says that there is a proper, flat family of smooth curves over \widetilde{W}

$$(4.4) \quad \pi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \widetilde{W},$$

such that for the points $\tilde{b} = [\Sigma, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_N, P_\infty] \in \widetilde{W}$ we have $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{b}) = \Sigma$ and that the sections defined as

$$(4.5) \quad \sigma_i : \widetilde{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}, \quad \sigma_i(\tilde{b}) = P_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \infty$$

are holomorphic. For more background information, see [35, Sect. 1.2, Sect. 1.3], in particular Thm. 1.2.9 of [35].

If we “forget” the last point P_∞ we obtain maps

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{M}_{g,N+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,N}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(0,p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,N}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(k,p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,N}^{(k)}.$$

Let us fix a holomorphic section $\widehat{\sigma}_\infty$ of the universal family of curves (without marking). In particular, for every curve there is a point chosen in a manner depending analytically on the moduli. (Recall, we are only dealing with the local and generic situation.) The analytic subset

$$(4.7) \quad W' := \{\tilde{b} = [\Sigma, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_N, P_\infty] \mid P_\infty = \widehat{\sigma}_\infty([\Sigma])\} \subseteq \widetilde{W}$$

can be identified with an open subset W of $\mathcal{M}_{g,N}$ via

$$(4.8) \quad \tilde{b} = [(\Sigma, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_N, \widehat{\sigma}_\infty([\Sigma]))] \rightarrow b = [(\Sigma, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_N)].$$

By genericity, the map is one-to-one.

By choosing not only a section $\widehat{\sigma}_\infty$ but also a p -th order infinitesimal neighborhood of this section we even get an identification of the open subset W of $\mathcal{M}_{g,N}$ with an analytic subset $W'^{(p)}$ of $\widetilde{W}^{(0,p)}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(0,p)}$. It is defined in a similar way as W' .

All these considerations can be extended to the case where we allow infinite jets of local coordinates at P_∞ . We obtain then the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(k,\infty)}$.

At generic points, the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(k,p)}$ are smooth. Denote by S the divisor $S = \sum_{i=1}^N P_i$ on Σ . The tangent space $T_{\tilde{b}^{(1,p)}} \mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(1,p)}$ can be identified with the cohomology space $H^1(M, T_M(-2S - (p+1)P_\infty))$. As in Prop. 4.4 and Thm. 4.5 of [26] we obtain that there exists a surjective linear map from the Krichever-Novikov vector field algebra \mathcal{L} to the cohomology space

$$(4.9) \quad \theta = \theta_p : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow H^1(M, T_M(-2S - (p+1)P_\infty))$$

such that θ restricted to the following subspaces gives isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_{-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{(0)}^{(p)} &\cong H^1(M, T_M(-2S - (p+1)P_\infty)) \cong T_{\tilde{b}^{(1,p)}} \mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(1,p)}, \\
 \mathcal{L}_{-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{(0)}^{(p)} &\cong H^1(M, T_M(-S - (p+1)P_\infty)) \cong T_{\tilde{b}^{(0,p)}} \mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}, \\
 \mathcal{L}_{(0)}^{(p)} &\cong H^1(M, T_M(-(p+1)P_\infty)) \cong T_{[\Sigma, P_\infty]} \mathcal{M}_{g,1}^{(p)}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.10}$$

Again, for the infinite jets we obtain

$$T_{\tilde{b}^{(1,\infty)}} \mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(1,\infty)} = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} H^1(M, T_M(-2S - pP_\infty)) \cong \mathcal{L}_{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{L}_-.$$

$$\tag{4.11}$$

Let us note the dimension formula

$$\dim_{b^{(1,p)}}(\mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(1,p)}) = \begin{cases} 3g - 2 + 2N + p, & g \geq 1 \\ \max(0, N - 2) + N + p, & g = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{4.12}$$

For $N \geq 2$ the first expression is valid for any genus.

Let $\tilde{b}^{(1,p)} \in \mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(1,p)}$ be a moduli point. Let $\nu^{(p)} : \mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(1,p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}$ be the map forgetting the coordinates and let $\tilde{b} = \nu^{(p)}(\tilde{b}^{(1,p)})$ be a generic point with open neighborhood \widetilde{W} . For $\tilde{b} = [\Sigma, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_N, P_\infty]$ we can construct the Krichever-Novikov objects

$$\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{b}}, \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{b}}, \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{b}}, \overline{\mathfrak{g}}_{\tilde{b}}, \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\tilde{b}}, \mathcal{F}_{\tilde{b}}^\lambda, \text{ etc.}$$

$$\tag{4.13}$$

with respect to $I = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_N\}$ and $O = \{P_\infty\}$. Recall from [26] that there are sheaf versions of these objects

$$\mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{W}}, \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}}, \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\widetilde{W}}, \overline{\mathfrak{g}}_{\widetilde{W}}, \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\widetilde{W}}, \mathcal{F}_{\widetilde{W}}^\lambda.$$

$$\tag{4.14}$$

Similarly, we can consider the sheaf versions of the objects introduced in Section 2, and Section 3 of the present paper: e.g. $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{g}, \widetilde{W}}^1$ – the sheaf of algebras of Krichever-Novikov differential operators of order ≤ 1 , and $V_{\widetilde{W}}$ – the sheaf of fermion modules.

Introduce the *regular subalgebras* of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ and \mathcal{L} as follows. Let $\mathcal{A}^r \subset \mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{L}^r \subset \mathcal{L}$ consist of those elements vanishing at P_∞ . Introduce $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}^r = \overline{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \mathcal{A}^r$. Observe that $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}^r$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ as well as of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, and \mathcal{L}^r is also a Lie subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$. Denote by $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}_{\widetilde{W}}^r, \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}}^r$ etc. the corresponding sheaves.

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{W}}$ be a sheaf of (fibrewise) representations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\widetilde{W}}$. The sheaf of *conformal blocks* (associated to the representation $\mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{W}}$) is defined as the sheaf of coinvariants

$$C_{\widetilde{W}} = \mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{W}} / \overline{\mathfrak{g}}_{\widetilde{W}}^r \mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{W}}.$$

$$\tag{4.15}$$

For $p \in \mathbb{N}$ or $p = \infty$ let $\widetilde{W}^{(p)} = (\nu^{(p)})^{-1}(\widetilde{W})$. By pulling-back the above sheaves over \widetilde{W} via $\nu^{(p)}$ we obtain sheaves on the open subset $\widetilde{W}^{(p)}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(p)}$. Starting from a fibrewise representation $\mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{W}^{(p)}}$, the sheaf of conformal blocks can be defined in the same way as (4.15) by

$$C_{\widetilde{W}^{(p)}} = \mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{W}^{(p)}} / \overline{\mathfrak{g}}_{\widetilde{W}^{(p)}}^r \mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{W}^{(p)}}.$$

$$\tag{4.16}$$

Clearly, if $\mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{W}}$ is already defined on \widetilde{W} then $\nu_p^*(C_{\widetilde{W}}) = C_{\widetilde{W}^{(p)}}$.

Of special importance is the pull-back to $\widetilde{W}^{(1)}$. Recall that this means that we fix a set of first order jets of coordinates. As shown in [26, Lemma 4.3] this fixes the Krichever-Novikov basis elements uniquely. In particular we can choose in every of the above vector spaces a standard basis given by these Krichever-Novikov basis elements. By their explicit form given in [18] it is obvious that they depend analytically on the moduli point. In this way we see that over $\widetilde{W}^{(1)}$ the sheaves

$$(4.17) \quad \mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{W}^{(1)}}, \mathcal{L}_{\widetilde{W}^{(1)}}, \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\widetilde{W}^{(1)}}, \overline{\mathfrak{g}}_{\widetilde{W}^{(1)}}, \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\widetilde{W}^{(1)}}, \mathcal{F}_{\widetilde{W}^{(1)}}^\lambda.$$

are free sheaves of trivial infinite-dimensional vector bundles with trivializations given by the Krichever-Novikov basis (respectively constructed out of them). Of course, everything remains true for $\widetilde{W}^{(p)}$ instead $\widetilde{W}^{(1)}$.

Below, we take the sheaf of fermion modules $\mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{W}^{(1)}}$ as a representation sheaf. This will be our model situation. In our description, the basis fermions do not depend on moduli at all, only the Lie algebra action does via the structure constants. We trivialize the sheaf $\mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{W}^{(1)}}$ using these bases and obtain the corresponding trivial vector bundle $V \times \widetilde{W}^{(1)}$, where V is a standard fermion space $\mathcal{H}_{F,\tau}^{(m)}$ (Section 3). Over a generic point of the moduli space, the space $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}^r V$ also does not depend on moduli (the dependence due to the structure constants disappears after taking the linear span of images of the basis fermions under the action of $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}^r$). Hence, locally, the sheaf of conformal blocks is free and defines a vector bundle. Moreover, we will take $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n)$, and τ the standard representation of \mathfrak{g} in the n -dimensional vector space, see Section 3. This guarantees the finite-dimensionality of conformal blocks². In particular, we obtain in this case that the vector bundle of conformal blocks is of finite rank. Note also that in this case the representation $\mathcal{H}_{F,\tau}^{(m)}$ is irreducible³.

Observe, that all statements and arguments of the next section remain true for every representation sheaf \mathcal{V} of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with an arbitrary reductive \mathfrak{g} as long as the above properties of our model situation are true.

4.2. Projective flat connection and generalized Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations.

For short, denote by \mathcal{V} a sheaf $\mathcal{V}_{\widetilde{W}^{(1)}}$ fulfilling the conditions given at the end of the last subsection, e.g. a sheaf of fermion representations, and let it be fixed through-out this subsection.

Let $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m)$ denote the moduli parameters in a neighbourhood of a fixed point in $\mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(1)}$, i.e. local coordinates on $\mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(1)}$. Denote by $\Sigma(\tau)$ the Riemann surface with corresponding conformal structure.

²We are grateful to B.Feigin for this remark

³The irreducibility is well-studied in the graded case [8, Lecture 9]. The proof for the almost graded case is similar. We will give it somewhere else.

Choose a generic point with moduli parameters τ_0 in $\mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(1)}$. Let τ_0 be represented by the geometric data $(\Sigma(\tau_0), P_1, \dots, P_N, P_\infty, z_1^{(1)}, \dots, z_N^{(1)}, z_\infty^{(1)})$, see (4.1). In particular, $\Sigma(\tau_0)$ has a fixed conformal structure representing the algebraic curve corresponding to the moduli parameters τ_0 . For τ lying in a small enough neighbourhood of τ_0 , the conformal structure on $\Sigma(\tau)$ can be obtained by deforming the conformal structure $\Sigma(\tau_0)$ in the following way.

On the Riemann surface $\Sigma(\tau_0)$, we choose a local coordinate w at P_∞ which has first order jet $w_\infty^{(1)}$. Rigorously speaking, this amounts to passing (temporary) to the moduli space $\widetilde{W}^{(\infty)}$. Let $U_\infty \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a unit disc with natural coordinate z . After identification of z with w we can think of U_∞ as a subset of the coordinate chart at P_∞ . In fact, we might even assume that the disc with radius 2 is still inside this chart. Let $v \in \mathcal{L}$ be a Krichever-Novikov vector field. By restriction, it defines a meromorphic vector field on U_∞ . In turn, this vector field defines a family of local diffeomorphisms ϕ_t – the corresponding local flow. For t small enough they map an annulus $U_v \subset U_\infty$ which is bounded by the unit circle from outside to a deformed annulus in the disc with radius 2. We take the set on the coordinate chart at P_∞ which (after the identification of z with w) is the interior complement to $\phi_t(U_v)$, cut it out of the curve and use ϕ_t to define a glueing of U_∞ to the rest of the curve along the subset U_v . In this way, for every t we obtain another conformal structure. Depending on the vector field (and corresponding diffeomorphisms) the equivalence class of the conformal structure will change or not. But, in any case we obtain via this process any conformal structure which is close to the given one in moduli space (see [5] for further information). Moreover, the deformation in any tangent direction in the moduli spaces $\widetilde{W}^{(1)}$ and $\widetilde{W}^{(\infty)}$ can be realized.

In abuse of notation we assign to τ such a diffeomorphism d_τ , $w = d_\tau(z)$, where d_τ is defined on the annulus U_τ ; keeping in mind that τ uniquely defines neither d_τ nor U_τ . Here we use w for the new coordinate and z the standard coordinate.

In this section, let \mathcal{A} denote the sheaf of Krichever-Novikov function algebras on $\widetilde{W}^{(1)}$, as well as the corresponding infinite-dimensional vector bundle. In this bundle, denote the fibre over $\tau \in \widetilde{W}^{(1)}$ by \mathcal{A}_τ . If A is a section of the bundle we write it as $A(\tau) = A_\tau$, where $A_\tau \in \mathcal{A}_\tau$. Similarly, let \mathcal{A}^r , $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$, \mathcal{L}^r , $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}^r$ denote the sheaves (respectively the bundles) of the corresponding algebras.

Let \mathcal{A}_τ^{ann} be the algebra of regular functions on the annulus U_τ . Embed \mathcal{A}_τ into \mathcal{A}_τ^{ann} by restricting every function A_τ onto the image of U_τ in $\Sigma(\tau)$ and consider the restriction as a function of the variable w . Denote the result $A_\tau(w)$. On the other hand, restrict A_τ onto the coordinate chart at the point $P_\infty \in \Sigma(\tau)$, and denote the result $\tilde{A}_\tau(z)$. Thus, we assign to every pair $(A_\tau, \Sigma(\tau))$, in a non-unique way, a pair (\tilde{A}_τ, d_τ) , where $\tilde{A}_\tau(z) = A_\tau(d_\tau(z))$ on U_τ . For $0 < s < 1$ let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_s$ be the algebra of regular functions in the annulus $U_s \subseteq U_\infty$ with boundary circles of radius 1 and s respectively. We set

$\tilde{A} = \text{inj} \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_s$ with respect to the natural inclusion. Clearly, $\tilde{A}_\tau \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ in the neighborhood of τ_0 (moreover, \tilde{A}_τ gives an element of the sheaf of germs of meromorphic functions in z at the point $z = 0$). Thus, the correspondence $A_\tau \rightarrow \tilde{A}_\tau$ gives an embedding of \mathcal{A} into $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Denote the subsheaf of sections of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ corresponding to germs of analytic functions vanishing at P_∞ by $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^r$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^r$ have the similar meaning with respect to \mathcal{L} .

Given a vector field X on $\widetilde{W}^{(1)}$ by $\partial_X A_\tau$ we mean a full derivative in τ of $\tilde{A}_\tau(d_\tau^{-1}(w))$ along the vector field X . We interpret this as a differentiation (in τ) of a Krichever-Novikov function as function in the variable z taking account of the dependence of the local coordinate z on moduli. After the substitution $w = d_\tau(z)$ we consider $\partial_X A_\tau$ as an element of the sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$.

Consider a family of local diffeomorphisms d_τ where τ runs over a disk in the space of moduli parameters. Recall that for every τ the corresponding d_τ is nothing but the gluing function $w = d_\tau(z)$ for $\Sigma(\tau)$. From this point of view, the family d_τ is nothing but a family of (local) functions depending on parameters. To stress this interpretation, define the function $d(z, \tau) = d_\tau(z)$. Define $\partial_X d_\tau$ as follows:

$$(4.18) \quad (\partial_X d_\tau)(z) = \sum_i X_i(\tau) \frac{\partial d(z, \tau)}{\partial \tau_i}.$$

Given a vector field X on $\widetilde{W}^{(1)}$ we can assign to it a local vector field $\rho(X) := d_\tau^{-1} \cdot \partial_X d_\tau$ (on $\Sigma(\tau)$) which represents the *Kodaira-Spencer cohomology class* of the corresponding 1-parameter deformation family. By adding suitable coboundary terms (which amounts to composing the diffeomorphism d_τ with a diffeomorphism of the disk) we obtain

$$(4.19) \quad \rho(X) = d_\tau^{-1} \cdot \partial_X d_\tau \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Given the vector field X we can also assign to it via the isomorphism (4.11) a Krichever-Novikov vector field e_X . Note that we consider X as vector field on $\widetilde{W}^{(1)}$. Hence e_X is only fixed up to the addition of elements of $\mathcal{L}_-^{(1)}$. See Section 2.4 for the definition of $\mathcal{L}_-^{(1)}$; its elements correspond to the changes of the coordinate at P_∞ . We call every such element e_X a *pull-back* of X . Independently of the pull-back e_X and of the choice of $\rho(X)$ (satisfying (4.19)) we have $e_X - \rho(X) \in \mathcal{L}^r$, hence

$$(4.20) \quad e_X = \rho(X) + e^r,$$

with $e^r \in \mathcal{L}^r$ (e^r depends on both e_X and $\rho(X)$).

Proposition 4.2. *For every section A of the sheaf \mathcal{A} , and every local vector field X define A^X by the relation*

$$(4.21) \quad \partial_X A = -(e_X) \cdot A + A^X.$$

Then $A^X \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, and, moreover, $A^X \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^r$ for $A \in \mathcal{A}^r$.

Proof. In terms of the composition of maps, $A_\tau = \tilde{A}_\tau \circ d_\tau^{-1}$. By the chain rule,

$$(4.22) \quad \partial_X A_\tau = \tilde{\partial}_X \tilde{A}_\tau + \partial_z \tilde{A}_\tau \cdot \partial_X d_\tau^{-1} = \tilde{\partial}_X \tilde{A}_\tau + \left(-\widetilde{\rho(X)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \tilde{A}_\tau \right) \cdot d_\tau^{-1},$$

where $\tilde{\partial}_X \tilde{A}_\tau$ is a derivative along X with the assumption of independence of the local coordinate z of τ , $\partial_z \tilde{A}_\tau$ is a differential of \tilde{A}_τ (in the variable z), and $\widetilde{\rho(X)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ is the first order differential operator corresponding to the vector field $\rho(X) = d_\tau^{-1} \cdot \partial_X d_\tau$. In more detail, $\partial_X d_\tau^{-1} = -d_\tau^{-1} \cdot \partial_X d_\tau \cdot d_\tau^{-1}$, hence $\partial_z \tilde{A}_\tau \cdot \partial_X d_\tau^{-1} = \partial_z \tilde{A}_\tau \cdot (-d_\tau^{-1} \cdot \partial_X d_\tau) \cdot d_\tau^{-1}$. By (4.19), for every τ , the $\rho(X) = d_\tau^{-1} \cdot \partial_X d_\tau$ is an element of \mathcal{L}_τ . Denote the corresponding first order differential operator (in the variable z) by $\widetilde{\rho(X)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. Observe that $\partial_z \tilde{A}_\tau \cdot (d_\tau^{-1} \cdot \partial_X d_\tau) = \widetilde{\rho(X)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \tilde{A}$.

Making use of (4.20), we replace $\widetilde{\rho(X)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ in (4.22) with the differential operator corresponding to $e_X - e^r$ and obtain (4.21) with $A_\tau^X = \tilde{\partial}_X \tilde{A}_\tau + e^r A_\tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$.

Assume, $A_\tau \in \mathcal{A}^r$ for every τ , i.e. $A_\tau(P_\infty) = 0$ for $P_\infty \in \Sigma(\tau)$. We have $e^r \in \mathcal{L}^r$ by definition of the pull-back in question, hence also $e^r(P_\infty) = 0$. Since $z(P_\infty) = 0$, $A_\tau(P_\infty) = 0$ implies $\tilde{A}_\tau(0) = 0$, for every τ , and, further on, $\tilde{\partial}_X \tilde{A}_\tau(0) = 0$. Therefore, $A_\tau^X(P_\infty) = 0$, hence $A_\tau^X \in (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^r)_\tau$ for every τ , which completes the proof. \square

Let \mathcal{L} be the sheaf of Krichever-Novikov vector field algebras, and e be a meromorphic section of it. Turning to the definition of $\partial_X e$, we observe that there is also no conventional one. In analogy with the case of functions, we could define it using local vector fields on U_τ . Another possibility, which we prefer here, is to define it via Leibniz rule: i.e. for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, by definition,

$$(\partial_X e).A = \partial_X(e.A) - e.\partial_X A.$$

Proposition 4.3. *For every section e of the sheaf \mathcal{L} , and every local vector field X define e^X by the relation*

$$(4.23) \quad \partial_X e = -[e_X, e] + e^X.$$

Then $e^X \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, and, moreover, $e^X \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^r$ for $e \in \mathcal{L}^r$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.2 we have $\partial_X(e.A) = -e_X.(e.A) + (e.A)^X$ where, $(e.A)^X = \tilde{\partial}_X(\widetilde{e.A}) + e^r.(e.A)$. This follows from the proof of Proposition 4.2. Similarly, $e.\partial_X A = e.(-e_X.A + \tilde{\partial}_X \tilde{A} + e^r.A)$. All together

$$(4.24) \quad (\partial_X e).A = -[e_X, e].A + \tilde{\partial}_X(\widetilde{e.A}) - e.\tilde{\partial}_X \tilde{A} + [e^r, e].A.$$

Since the objects e and A are global, we have $\widetilde{e.A} = \tilde{e}.\tilde{A}$. Applying the Leibniz rule again, we obtain $\tilde{\partial}_X(\widetilde{e.A}) - e.\tilde{\partial}_X \tilde{A} = (\tilde{\partial}_X \tilde{e}).\tilde{A}$. Since (4.24) is a relation in the sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, we do not distinguish between A and \tilde{A} . Hence, (4.24) implies (4.23) where $e^X = \tilde{\partial}_X \tilde{e} + [e^r, e] \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$.

If $e \in \mathcal{L}^r$ then $[e^r, e] \in \mathcal{L}^r$ since $e^r \in \mathcal{L}^r$ and \mathcal{L}^r is a subalgebra. Further on, $\tilde{\partial}_X \tilde{e}(0) = 0$ for the same reason as $\tilde{\partial}_X \tilde{A}(0) = 0$ in the proof of Proposition 4.2. Thus, $e^X \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^r$ which completes the proof. \square

Consider a sheaf of operators on the local sections of the sheaf \mathcal{V} . Assume B to be a local section of it. By definition, $\partial_X B = [\partial_X, B]$, where, on the right hand side, ∂_X is a differentiation on \mathcal{V} . Here the following cases occur: $B = u(A)$, where $u \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B = T[e] := T(e)$, the Sugawara operator introduced by (3.14)⁴.

For every pull-back e_X of X we introduce the following first order differential operator on sections of the trivial sheaf \mathcal{V} :

$$(4.25) \quad \nabla_X = \partial_X + T(e_X),$$

where $\partial_X = \sum_i X_i(\tau) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i}$.

Proposition 4.4. *∇_X is well-defined on conformal blocks and is independent of a pull-back of X there.*

Before we prove this proposition we have to extend the operators $u(A)$ and $T(e)$ to the case when A or e are local objects. Local vector fields (functions, currents etc.) form completions of the corresponding Krichever-Novikov objects since they have infinite expansions over the corresponding Krichever-Novikov bases [10]. By (4.10) and Proposition 4.3 we can restrict ourselves with expansions with only a finite pole order at P_∞ , i.e. with finitely many components of positive Krichever-Novikov degree. Define \overline{V} as the space of infinite formal sums in negative direction (with respect to the fermion degree (3.4)) of linear combinations of basis fermions. Due to the almost-gradedness, the action of the above operators can be extended on \overline{V} with the advantage, that these extensions do exist also for A and e local. Indeed, only finitely many terms of the expansions (of the operator and of the corresponding element in \overline{V}) contribute in the result to the component of a given degree.

First, we prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *For the fermion representations we have*

$$(4.26) \quad \partial_X u(A) = u(\partial_X A)$$

Proof. For every $u \in \mathfrak{g}$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and every basis fermion $\Psi = \psi_{i_1} \wedge \psi_{i_2} \dots$ we have $u(A)\Psi = (uA)\psi_{i_1} \wedge \psi_{i_2} \dots + \psi_{i_1} \wedge (uA)\psi_{i_2} \dots + \lambda_1 \cdot \Psi$, where in the expressions $(uA)\psi_{i_k}$ the term with ψ_{i_k} (if there is any) has to be ignored by regularization and the last term is the counter term coming from regularization. Since basis fermions do not depend on moduli, we have

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{aligned} (\partial_X u(A))\Psi &= \partial_X(u(A)\Psi) \\ &= (u\partial_X A)\psi_{i_1} \wedge \psi_{i_2} \dots + \psi_{i_1} \wedge (u\partial_X A)\psi_{i_2} \dots + (\partial_X \lambda_1) \cdot \Psi \\ &= u(\partial_X A)\Psi + (\partial_X \lambda_1) \cdot \Psi - \lambda_2 \cdot \Psi \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_2 \cdot \Psi$ appears due to regularization of $u(\partial_X A)$. As long as no regularization is necessary, the relation (4.26) follows immediately. The regularization can be easily calculated via the matrix of the operator uA in the space of

⁴In this section there will be no danger of confusion of $T(e)$ with (3.5), hence, we choose $T(e)$ to avoid confusion with the Lie bracket.

sections of the holomorphic bundle involved. Let $uA = \sum_{i,j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{ij} E_{ij}$ where $\{E_{ij} | i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the natural basis in the matrix space. By the regularization procedure [8]

$$\lambda_1 = \sum_{i \in N_-} a_{ii} - \sum_{i \in N_+} a_{ii},$$

where N_+ is the set of non-occupied positions, or holes of positive degree in Ψ , i.e. $N_+ = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{i_1, i_2, \dots\}$, and N_- is the set of occupied positions of degree ≤ 0 .⁵ Similarly, $\lambda_2 = \sum_{i \in N_-} \partial_X a_{ii} - \sum_{i \in N_+} \partial_X a_{ii}$. Therefore, $\partial_X \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0$ and the claim is true also in this case. \square

For more general representations, we take relation (4.26) as an additional requirement.

Proof of Proposition 4.4. Using Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 3.7 we find

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla_X, u(A)] &= [\partial_X + T(e_X), u(A)] = [\partial_X, u(A)] + [T(e_X), u(A)] \\ &= u(\partial_X A) + u(e_X.A). \end{aligned}$$

Assume, $A \in \mathcal{A}^r$. Then, by Proposition 4.2, we have

$$u(\partial_X A) = -u(e_X.A) + u(A^X),$$

where $A^X \in \mathcal{A}^r$. Hence, $[\nabla_X, u(A)] = u(A^X)$ and

$$[\nabla_X, u(\mathcal{A}^r)] \subseteq u(\mathcal{A}^r).$$

Hence $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^r \mathcal{V}$ is a ∇_X -invariant subspace and ∇_X is well-defined on $\mathcal{V}/\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^r \mathcal{V}$. \square

Lemma 4.6. *For every $X \in T\mathcal{M}_{g,N+1}^{(1)}$ we have*

$$\partial_X T(e) = T(\partial_X e) + \lambda \cdot id,$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(X, e) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. By the fundamental relation (Lemma 3.7) for every $e \in \mathcal{L}$, $u \in \mathfrak{g}$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$

$$(4.28) \quad [T(e), u(A)] = u(e.A).$$

Take the derivative on both sides of the relation (4.28) along a local vector field $X \in T\widetilde{W}^{(1)}$. By Lemma 4.5 we obtain

$$(4.29) \quad [\partial_X T(e), u(A)] + [T(e), \partial_X u(A)] = u((\partial_X e).A) + u(e.(\partial_X A)).$$

Again, by (4.28) and Lemma 4.5 the second terms on both sides of (4.29) are equal. Therefore,

$$[\partial_X T(e), u(A)] = u((\partial_X e).A).$$

Applying (4.28) once more, we replace the right hand side of the latter relation by $[T(\partial_X e), u(A)]$ (see the remark below). Therefore,

$$(4.30) \quad [\partial_X T(e) - T(\partial_X e), u(A)] = 0$$

⁵Other descriptions are possible, but they are equivalent.

for every $X \in \widetilde{\text{TW}}^{(1)}$, $u \in \mathfrak{g}$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

By standard arguments of the theory of highest weight representations (either by the irreducibility of the representation or by uniqueness of the vacuum vector), the commutation relations (4.30) immediately imply the lemma. \square

Remark. The $\partial_X e$ is a local vector field on a deformed annulus (an element of the sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ to be more precise). Hence, we need the relation (4.28) for local vector fields to prove (4.30). Due to the definition given after the formulation of Proposition 4.4, the representations $T(e)$ and $u(A)$ are well defined also on local vector fields and functions, respectively, with preserving the relation (4.28). Indeed, for an $A \in \mathcal{A}$, a homogeneous $v \in V$ and an arbitrary n there exists a partial sum \tilde{e} of the expansion for $\partial_X e$ such that $(u((\partial_X e).A)v)_n = (u(\tilde{e}.A)v)_n$ and $([T(\partial_X e), u(A)]v)_n = ([T(\tilde{e}), u(A)]v)_n$ where $(\cdot)_n$ denotes the projection onto the component of degree n in \overline{V} . By (4.28) the right hand sides of the last two relations are equal, hence their left hand sides also are equal. This implies the relation (4.28) with $\partial_X e$ instead e .

For the remainder of this section, our goal is to prove the projective flatness of (4.25) and to introduce the corresponding analog of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations.

Lemma 4.7. *For every pull-backs e_X, e_Y of local vector fields X, Y to \mathcal{L} , there exist a pull-back $e_{[X,Y]}$ of $[X, Y]$ such that*

$$e_{[X,Y]} = [e_X, e_Y] + \partial_X e_Y - \partial_Y e_X.$$

Proof. By [34, Lemma 1.3.8]

$$(4.31) \quad \rho([X, Y]) = [\rho(X), \rho(Y)] + \partial_X \rho(Y) - \partial_Y \rho(X).$$

Observe that for every pull-backs e_X, e_Y we have $e_X = \rho(X) + e_1^r$, $e_Y = \rho(Y) + e_2^r$, where $e_1^r e_2^r \in \mathcal{L}^r$, see (4.20). In terms of e_X, e_Y (4.31) reads as

$$(4.32) \quad \rho([X, Y]) = [e_X, e_Y] + \partial_X e_Y - \partial_Y e_X + e_3^r$$

where $e_3^r = [e_1^r, e_2^r] - (\partial_X e_2^r + [e_X, e_2^r]) + (\partial_Y e_1^r + [e_Y, e_1^r])$.

Since \mathcal{L}^r is a Lie subalgebra, we have $[e_1^r, e_2^r] \in \mathcal{L}^r$. By Proposition 4.3, the elements $\partial_X e_2^r + [e_X, e_2^r]$ and $\partial_Y e_1^r + [e_Y, e_1^r]$ are also regular, hence $e_3^r \in \mathcal{L}^r$. Thus, $e_{[X,Y]} = \rho([X, Y]) - e_3^r$ is a pull-back of $[X, Y]$, and the lemma is proven. \square

Theorem 4.8. *∇_X is a projective flat connection on the vector bundle of conformal blocks.*

Proof.

$$(4.33) \quad \begin{aligned} [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] &= [\partial_X + T(e_X), \partial_Y + T(e_Y)] \\ &= [\partial_X, \partial_Y] + [\partial_X, T(e_Y)] - [\partial_Y, T(e_X)] + [T(e_X), T(e_Y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since T is a projective representation of \mathcal{L} and due to the relations $[\partial_X, T(e_Y)] = \partial_X T(e_Y)$, $[\partial_Y, T(e_X)] = \partial_Y T(e_X)$, we can rewrite (4.33) in the following form:

$$(4.34) \quad [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] = \partial_{[X,Y]} + T(\partial_X e_Y - \partial_Y e_X + [e_X, e_Y]) + \lambda \cdot id.$$

Here we used also Lemma 4.6. By Lemma 4.7, this reads as

$$(4.35) \quad \begin{aligned} [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] &= \partial_{[X,Y]} + T(e_{[X,Y]}) + \lambda \cdot id \\ &= \nabla_{[X,Y]} + \lambda \cdot id. \end{aligned}$$

□

We consider the following equations for horizontal sections of the connection ∇_X as a generalization of Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations:

$$(4.36) \quad \nabla_X \Psi = 0, \quad X \in H^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{TM}_{g,N+1}^{(1)})$$

where Ψ is a section of the sheaf of conformal blocks. These equations are proposed in [26], also ∇_X was explicitly calculated there for $g = 0$ and $g = 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bernard, D., *On the Wess-Zumino-Witten models on Riemann surfaces*. Nucl. Phys. B **309** (1988), 145–174.
- [2] Bonora L., Rinaldi, M., Russo, J., Wu, K., *The Sugawara construction on genus g Riemann surfaces*. Phys. Lett. B **208** (1988), 440–446.
- [3] Enriquez, B., Felder, G., *Solutions of the KZB equations in genus ≥ 1* . math.QA/9912198.
- [4] Felder, G., Wieczorkowski, Ch., *Conformal blocks on elliptic curves and the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard equation*. Commun. Math. Phys. **176** (1996), 133–161.
- [5] Harris, J., Morrison, I., *Moduli of Curves*. Springer 1998, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- [6] Hitchin, N., *Flat connections and geometric quantization*. Commun. Math. Phys. **131** (1990), 347–380.
- [7] Kac, V.G., *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [8] Kac, V.G., Raina, A.K., *Highest Weight Representations of Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*. Adv. Ser. in Math. Physics Vol.2, World Scientific, 1987.
- [9] Knizhnik, V.G., Zamolodchikov, A.B., *Current algebra and Wess-Zumino model in two dimensions*. Nucl. Phys. B **247** (1984), 83–103.
- [10] Krichever, I.M., Novikov, S.P., *Algebras of Virasoro type, Riemann surfaces and structures of the theory of solitons*. Funktional Anal. i. Prilozhen. **21**, No.2 (1987), 46–63.
- [11] Krichever, I.M., Novikov, S.P., *Virasoro type algebras, Riemann surfaces and strings in Minkowski space*. Funktional Anal. i. Prilozhen. **21**, No.4 (1987), 47–61.
- [12] Krichever, I.M., Novikov, S.P., *Algebras of Virasoro type, energy-momentum tensors and decompositions of operators on Riemann surfaces*. Funktional Anal. i. Prilozhen. **23**, No.1 (1989), 46–63.
- [13] Krichever, I.M., Novikov S.P., *Holomorphic bundles and commuting difference operators. Two-point constructions*. Usp. Mat. Nauk, **55**, No.4 (2000) 181–182.
- [14] Ruffing, A., Deck, Th., Schlichenmaier, M., *String branchings on complex tori and algebraic representations of generalized Krichever-Novikov algebras*. Lett. Math. Phys. **26** (1992), 23–32.
- [15] Sadov, V.A., *Bases on multipunctured Riemann surfaces and interacting strings amplitudes*. Commun. Math. Phys. **136** (1991), 585–597.
- [16] Schlichenmaier, M., *An introduction to Riemann surfaces, algebraic curves and moduli spaces*. Lecture Notes in Physics **322**, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1989.

- [17] Schlichenmaier, M., *Krichever-Novikov algebras for more than two points*. Letters in Mathematical Physics **19**(1990), 151-165.
- [18] Schlichenmaier, M., *Krichever-Novikov algebras for more than two points: explicit generators*. Letters in Mathematical Physics **19**(1990), 327-336.
- [19] Schlichenmaier, M., *Central extensions and semi-infinite wedge representations of Krichever-Novikov algebras for more than two points*. Letters in Mathematical Physics **20**(1990), 33-46.
- [20] Schlichenmaier, M., *Verallgemeinerte Krichever - Novikov Algebren und deren Darstellungen*. Ph.D. thesis, Universität Mannheim, 1990.
- [21] Schlichenmaier, M., *Degenerations of generalized Krichever-Novikov algebras on tori*. Journal of Mathematical Physics **34**(1993), 3809-3824.
- [22] Schlichenmaier, M., *Differential operator algebras on compact Riemann surfaces*. Generalized Symmetries in Physics (Clausthal 1993, Germany) (H.-D. Doebner, V.K. Dobrev, and A.G. Ushveridze, eds.), World Scientific, 1994, pp. 425-434.
- [23] Schlichenmaier, M., *Local cocycles and central extensions for multi-point algebras of Krichever-Novikov type*. J. Reine und Angewandte Mathematik **559**(2003), 53-94.
- [24] Schlichenmaier, M., *Higher genus affine algebras of Krichever-Novikov type*. Moscow Math. J. (to appear), math/0210360.
- [25] Schlichenmaier, M., Sheinman, O.K., *Sugawara construction and Casimir operators for Krichever-Novikov algebras*. Jour. of Math. Science **92** (1998), 3807-3834, q-alg/9512016.
- [26] Schlichenmaier, M., Sheinman, O.K., *Wess-Zumino-Witten-Novikov theory, Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, and Krichever-Novikov algebras, I.* Russian Math. Surv. (Uspekhi Math. Nauk.) **54** (1999), 213-250, math.QA/9812083.
- [27] Sheinman, O.K., *Elliptic affine Lie algebras*. Funktional Anal. i. Prilozhen. **24** No.3 (1992), 210-219.
- [28] Sheinman, O.K., *Affine Lie algebras on Riemann surfaces*. Funktional Anal. i. Prilozhen. **27**, No.4 (1993), 54-62.
- [29] Sheinman, O.K., *Representations of Krichever-Novikov algebras*. Topics in topology and mathematical physics, (ed.) Novikov, S.P., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., U.S.A., 1995.
- [30] Sheinman, O.K., *The fermion model of representations of affine Krichever-Novikov algebras*. Funktional Anal. i. Prilozhen. **35**, No.3 (2001).
- [31] Sheinman, O.K., *Second order casimirs for the affine Krichever-Novikov algebras $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{g,2}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{g,2}$* . Moscow Math.J. , **4**(1) 2001, 605-628, mathRT/0109001.
- [32] Sheinman, O.K., *Second order casimirs for the affine Krichever-Novikov algebras $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{g,2}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{g,2}$* . Russian Math. Surv., **56**, No.5 (2001), 189-190.
- [33] Sheinman, O.K., *Krichever-Novikov algebras, their representations and applications*. MathRT/0304020.
- [34] Tsuchiya, A., Ueno, K., Yamada, Y., *Conformal field theory on universal family of stable curves with gauge symmetries*. Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **19** (1989), 459-566.
- [35] Ueno, K., *Introduction to conformal field theory with gauge symmetries*. Geometry and Physics, Proceed. Aarhus conference 1995 (Andersen J.E. et. al., ed.), Marcel Dekker, 1997, pp. 603-745.

(Martin Schlichenmaier) LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ DU LUXEMBOURG, 162 A, AVENUE DE LA FAIENCERIE, L-1511 LUXEMBOURG, GRAND DUCHÉ DU LUXEMBOURG

E-mail address: `schlichenmaier@cu.lu`

(Oleg K. Sheinman) STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UL. GUBKINA, 8, MOSCOW 117966, GSP-1, RUSSIA AND INDEPENDENT UNIVERSITY OF MOSCOW, BOLSHOI VLASIEVSKII PER. 11, MOSCOW 123298, RUSSIA

E-mail address: `oleg@sheinman.mccme.ru`